arXiv:1404.2436v3 [math.QA] 9 Jan 2016

Demazure submodules of level-zero extremal weight modules and specializations of Macdonald polynomials*

Satoshi Naito

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,

2-12-1 Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan (e-mail: naito@math.titech.ac.jp)

and

Daisuke Sagaki

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba,

Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan (e-mail: sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp)

Abstract

In this paper, we give a characterization of the crystal bases $\mathcal{B}_x^+(\lambda)$, $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, of Demazure submodules $V_x^+(\lambda)$, $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, of a level-zero extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ over a quantum affine algebra U_q, where λ is an arbitrary level-zero dominant integral weight, and W_{af} denotes the affine Weyl group. This characterization is given in terms of the initial direction of a semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri path, and is established under a suitably normalized isomorphism between the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ of the level-zero extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ and the crystal $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ of semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of shape λ, which is obtained in our previous work. As an application, we obtain a formula expressing the graded character of the Demazure submodule $V_{w_0}^+(\lambda)$ in terms of the specialization at $t = 0$ of the symmetric Macdonald polynomial $P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,t)$.

1 Introduction.

Extremal weight modules over the quantized universal enveloping algebra of a symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra were introduced by [Kas3]. Since then, the study of level-zero extremal weight modules over a quantum affine algebra U_q has especially been the subject of a number of papers. Among them, we would like to mention [Kas6] and [BN], in which many of the crucial results on the structure of level-zero extremal weight modules and their crystal bases are obtained.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 17B37; Secondary: 17B67, 81R50, 81R10.

In our previous paper [INS], for an arbitrary level-zero dominant integral weight λ, we gave an explicit realization of the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ of the extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ of extremal weight λ over U_q, in terms of semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths (SiLS paths for short) of shape λ; here, SiLS paths are analogs of Littelmann's LS paths, which are defined by using the semi-infinite Bruhat order in place of the ordinary Bruhat order on the affine Weyl group W_{af}, and Peterson's coset representatives in place of the usual minimal(-length) coset representatives. Namely, we proved that the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic as a crystal to the crystal $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ of SiLS paths of shape λ. Note that both of (the crystal graphs of) these crystals have infinitely many connected components in general, and hence an isomorphism between these crystals is not uniquely determined.

The purpose of this paper is to give a characterization of the crystal bases $\mathcal{B}_x^+(\lambda)$ of Demazure(-type) submodules $V_x^+(\lambda) := U_q^+ S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ of the extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$ of extremal weight λ, where x runs over the affine Weyl group W_{af}. Here, v_λ denotes the generating extremal weight vector of $V(\lambda)$ of weight λ, and $S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$ is an extremal weight vector of weight $x\lambda$ in the W_{af}-orbit of v_λ; also, U_q^+ denotes the positive part of the quantum affine algebra U_q. This characterization is given in terms of the initial direction of a SiLS path, and is established by normalizing suitably the isomorphism $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \cong \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ of crystals.

To be more precise, let $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \varpi_i$, with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I$, be an arbitrary level-zero dominant integral weight, where the ϖ_i, $i \in I := I_{\mathrm{af}} \setminus \{0\}$, are the level-zero fundamental weights. We set $J := \{i \in I \mid m_i = 0\}$, and $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{x \succeq}(\lambda) := \{\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \mid x \succeq \iota(\eta)\}$ for $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, where $\iota(\eta) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ denotes the initial direction of a SiLS path $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$; here, $(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ denotes the set of Peterson's coset representatives for the cosets in $W_{\mathrm{af}}/(W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, with $(W_J)_{\mathrm{af}} := W_J \ltimes Q_J^\vee$ a subgroup of $W_{\mathrm{af}} = W \ltimes Q^\vee$, where W is th finite Weyl group, and $Q^\vee$ is the coroot lattice corresponding to the subset I of I_{af}. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. *Let $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Then, under a suitably normalized isomorphism*

$$\Psi_\lambda^\vee : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$$

of crystals, there holds the equality

$$\Psi_\lambda^\vee(\mathcal{B}_x^+(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{x \succeq}(\lambda).$$

Here we should mention that although the statement of the theorem above is of the form similar to that of Kashiwara's result in [Kas2] (see also [Kas5, Chapitre 9]) or Littelmann's result in [L1, §5] in the case of integrable highest weight modules, its proof is much more difficult because both of the crystals $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ may have infinitely many connected components, and because the λ-weight space of $V(\lambda)$ may be infinite-dimensional even if these crystals are connected, in contrast to the case of ordinary highest weight crystals.

As an application of Theorem 1 above, we compute the graded character $\operatorname{gch} V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$ of the Demazure submodule $V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$ for the longest element $w_0 \in W$, and obtain a formula expressing $\operatorname{gch} V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$ in terms of the specialization $P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,0)$ of the symmetric Macdonald polynomial $P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,t)$. Namely, we prove (see Theorem 6.4.1) that

$$\operatorname{gch} V^+_{w_0}(\lambda) = \left(\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^r)\right)^{-1} P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,0),$$

where $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i$ is as above. Here the right-hand side of the equality above is called a q-Whittaker function in [BF], where the simply-laced cases are mainly treated; hence our result gives a new representation-theoretic interpretation of q-Whittaker functions for all untwisted cases.

Also, for each $w \in W^J$, we introduce a certain quotient $U^+_w(\lambda)$ of $V^+_w(\lambda)$, and give a characterization (Theorem 7.2.2) of its crystal basis as a subset of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ (or, more precisely, its connected component $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_0(\lambda)$) in terms of an initial direction. In our forthcoming paper [LNS^{3}3], we will prove that the graded character of $U^+_w(\lambda)$ is identical to the specialization at $t = 0$ of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial $E_{w\lambda}(x;\,q,\,t)$; this result generalizes [LNS^{3}2, Corollary 7.10], since $E_{w_0\lambda}(x;\,q,\,0) = P_\lambda(x;\,q,\,0)$, where w_0 is the longest element of the finite Weyl group W.

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we fix our notation for untwisted affine root data, and recall the definition of SiLS paths. Also, we briefly review our results in [INS] that we use in this paper. In §3, we first recall basic properties of extremal weight modules and their crystal bases. Then, we review some results in [BN] that we need in this paper. In §4, we introduce Demazure submodules $V^+_x(\lambda)$, $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, of the extremal weight module $V(\lambda)$, and their crystal bases $\mathcal{B}^+_x(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Also, we state our main result, i.e., Theorem 1 above. In §5, we prove some fundamental properties of the crystal bases $\mathcal{B}^+_x(\lambda)$, $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, of Demazure submodules $V^+_x(\lambda)$, $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, and similar properties for the crystals $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{x\succeq}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Then, after a suitable normalization of the isomorphism $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \cong \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ of crystals, we finally establish our main result (= Theorem 1) stated in §4. In §6, we obtain the graded character formula above for the Demazure submodule $V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$; in §7, we introduce the quotient $U^+_w(\lambda)$ of $V^+_w(\lambda)$ for each $w \in W^J$, and give a characterization of its crystal basis.

2 Semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths.

2.1 Untwisted affine root data.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ with Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,\,j\in I_{\mathrm{af}}}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}} = \left(\bigoplus_{j\in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathbb{C}\alpha^\vee_j\right) \oplus \mathbb{C}D$ denote the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$, where $\left\{\alpha^\vee_j\right\}_{j\in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \subset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}$ is the set of simple coroots, and $D \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}$ is the scaling element (or the degree operator). We denote by $\left\{\alpha_j\right\}_{j\in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathrm{af}}$ the set of simple roots, and by $\Lambda_j \in \mathfrak{h}^*_{\mathrm{af}}$, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, the fundamental

weights; note that $\langle D,\, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{j,0}$ and $\langle D,\, \Lambda_j \rangle = 0$ for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, where $\langle \cdot\,,\, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}} \times \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^* \to \mathbb{C}$ denotes the canonical pairing of $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}},\, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\delta = \sum_{j \in I} a_j \alpha_j \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^*$ and $c = \sum_{j \in I} a_j^\vee \alpha_j^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}$ denote the null root and the canonical central element of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$, respectively. The Weyl group W_{af} of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$ is defined to be the subgroup $\langle r_j \mid j \in I_{\mathrm{af}} \rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^*)$ generated by the simple reflections r_j associated with α_j for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, with length function $\ell : W_{\mathrm{af}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and unit element $e \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$. Denote by Δ_{af} the set of real roots, i.e., $\Delta_{\mathrm{af}} := \bigl\{ x\alpha_j \mid x \in W_{\mathrm{af}},\, j \in I_{\mathrm{af}} \bigr\}$, and by $\Delta_{\mathrm{af}}^+ \subset \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}$ the set of positive real roots; for $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}$, we denote by $\beta^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}$ the dual root of β, and by $r_\beta \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ the reflection with respect to β. We take a dual weight lattice $P_{\mathrm{af}}^\vee$ and a weight lattice P_{af} for $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$ as follows:

$$P_{\mathrm{af}}^\vee = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j^\vee \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}D \subset \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathrm{af}} = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathbb{Z}\Lambda_j \right) \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*; \tag{2.1.1}$$

it is clear that P_{af} contains α_j for all $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, and that $P_{\mathrm{af}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P_{\mathrm{af}}^\vee,\, \mathbb{Z})$. Also, we set

$$Q_{\mathrm{af}} := \bigoplus_{j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{\mathrm{af}}^\pm := \pm \sum_{j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_j.$$

We take and fix a distinguished vertex $0 \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $a_0 = a_0^\vee = 1$, and set $I := I_{\mathrm{af}} \backslash \{0\}$; note that the subset I of I_{af} is the index set for the canonical finite-dimensional simple Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$. For each $i \in I$, we define $\varpi_i := \Lambda_i - a_i^\vee \Lambda_0$; note that $\langle c,\, \varpi_i \rangle = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Set

$$Q := \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j, \qquad Q^+ := \sum_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_j, \qquad Q^\vee := \bigoplus_{j \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j^\vee, \qquad P^+ := \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\varpi_i;$$

we call an element of P^+ a level-zero dominant integral weight, which can be thought of as a dominant integral weight for $\mathfrak{g}$. Also, we set $W := \langle r_j \mid j \in I \rangle \subset W_{\mathrm{af}}$, which can be thought of as the (finite) Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$. For $\xi \in Q^\vee$, let $t_\xi \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ denote the translation in $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^*$ by ξ (see [Kac, §6.5]). Then we know from [Kac, Proposition 6.5] that $\bigl\{ t_\xi \mid \xi \in Q^\vee \bigr\}$ forms an abelian normal subgroup of W_{af}, for which $t_\xi t_\zeta = t_{\xi+\zeta}$, $\xi,\, \zeta \in Q^\vee$, and $W_{\mathrm{af}} = W \ltimes \bigl\{ t_\xi \mid \xi \in Q^\vee \bigr\}$ hold; remark that for $w \in W$ and $\xi \in Q^\vee$, we have

$$w t_\xi \mu = w\mu - \langle \xi,\, \mu \rangle \delta \quad \text{if } \mu \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^* \text{ satisfies } \langle c,\, \mu \rangle = 0. \tag{2.1.2}$$

We know from [Kac, Proposition 6.3] that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\mathrm{af}} &= \bigl\{ \alpha + n\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta,\, n \in \mathbb{Z} \bigr\}, \\ \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}^+ &= \Delta^+ \sqcup \bigl\{ \alpha + n\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta,\, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \bigr\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta := \Delta_{\mathrm{af}} \cap Q$ is the (finite) root system for $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\Delta^+ := \Delta \cap \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i$. Note that if $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}$ is of the form $\beta = \alpha + n\delta$ with $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $r_\beta = r_\alpha t_{n\alpha^\vee}$.

For a subset J of I, we set

$$Q_J := \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j, \qquad Q_J^\vee := \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j^\vee, \qquad Q_J^{\vee +} := \sum_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_j^\vee,$$

$$\Delta_J := \Delta \cap Q_J, \qquad \Delta_J^+ := \Delta^+ \cap \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha_i, \qquad W_J := \langle r_j \mid j \in J\rangle.$$

Also, denote by

$$[\,\cdot\,] = [\,\cdot\,]_{I\setminus J} : Q^\vee \twoheadrightarrow Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \tag{2.1.3}$$

the projection from $Q^\vee = Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \oplus Q^\vee_J$ onto $Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ with kernel $Q^\vee_J$. Let W^J denote the set of minimal(-length) coset representatives for the quotient W/W_J; we know from [BB, §2.4] that

$$W^J = \bigl\{w \in W \mid w\alpha \in \Delta^+ \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_J^+\bigr\}. \tag{2.1.4}$$

For $w \in W$, we denote by $\lfloor w \rfloor = \lfloor w \rfloor^J \in W^J$ the minimal coset representative for the coset wW_J in W/W_J.

2.2 Peterson's coset representatives.

Let J be a subset of I. Following [P] (see also [LS, §10]), we define

$$(\Delta_J)_{\mathrm{af}} := \bigl\{\alpha + n\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta_J, n \in \mathbb{Z}\bigr\} \subset \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}, \tag{2.2.1}$$

$$(\Delta_J)^+_{\mathrm{af}} := (\Delta_J)_{\mathrm{af}} \cap \Delta^+_{\mathrm{af}} = \Delta_J^+ \sqcup \bigl\{\alpha + n\delta \mid \alpha \in \Delta_J,\, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\bigr\}, \tag{2.2.2}$$

$$(W_J)_{\mathrm{af}} := W_J \ltimes \bigl\{t_\xi \mid \xi \in Q_J^\vee\bigr\} = \langle r_\beta \mid \beta \in (\Delta_J)^+_{\mathrm{af}}\rangle, \tag{2.2.3}$$

$$(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}} := \bigl\{x \in W_{\mathrm{af}} \mid x\beta \in \Delta^+_{\mathrm{af}} \text{ for all } \beta \in (\Delta_J)^+_{\mathrm{af}}\bigr\}. \tag{2.2.4}$$

Then we know the following from [P] (see also [LS, Lemma 10.6]).

Proposition 2.2.1. *For each $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, there exist a unique $x_1 \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and a unique $x_2 \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $x = x_1x_2$.*

We define a (surjective) map $\Pi^J : W_{\mathrm{af}} \to (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ by $\Pi^J(x) := x_1$ if $x = x_1x_2$ with $x_1 \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $x_2 \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$.

An element $\xi \in Q^\vee$ is said to be J-adjusted if $\langle \xi, \gamma\rangle \in \bigl\{-1, 0\bigr\}$ for all $\gamma \in \Delta_J^+$ (see [LNS^{3}1, Lemma 3.8]). Let $Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ denote the set of J-adjusted elements.

Lemma 2.2.2 ([INS, Lemma 2.3.5])**.**

(1) *For each $\xi \in Q^\vee$, there exists a unique $\phi_J(\xi) \in Q_J^\vee$ such that $\xi + \phi_J(\xi) \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$. In particular, $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ if and only if $\phi_J(\xi) = 0$.*

(2) *For each $\xi \in Q^\vee$, the element $\Pi^J(t_\xi) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ is of the form $\Pi^J(t_\xi) = z_\xi t_{\xi+\phi_J(\xi)}$ for a specific element $z_\xi \in W_J$. Also, $\Pi^J(wt_\xi) = \lfloor w \rfloor z_\xi t_{\xi+\phi_J(\xi)}$ for every $w \in W$ and $\xi \in Q^\vee$.*

(3) *We have*

$$(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}} = \bigl\{wz_\xi t_\xi \mid w \in W^J,\, \xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}\bigr\}. \tag{2.2.5}$$

Lemma 2.2.3 ([INS, Lemma 2.3.6])**.** *Let $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$. Then, $x^{-1}\alpha_j \notin (\Delta_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ if and only if $r_jx \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$.*

2.3 Parabolic semi-infinite Bruhat graph.

Definition 2.3.1 ([P])**.** Let $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, and write it as $x = wt_\xi$ for $w \in W$ and $\xi \in Q^\vee$. Then we define the semi-infinite length $\ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(x)$ of x by $\ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(x) := \ell(w) + 2\langle \xi, \rho \rangle$, where $\rho := (1/2)\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \alpha$.

Definition 2.3.2. Let J be a subset of I.

(1) Define the (parabolic) semi-infinite Bruhat graph SiB^J to be the Δ^+_{af}-labeled, directed graph with vertex set $(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and Δ^+_{af}-labeled, directed edges of the following form: $x \xrightarrow{\beta} r_\beta x$ for $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+_{\mathrm{af}}$, where $r_\beta x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $\ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(r_\beta x) = \ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(x) + 1$.

(2) The semi-infinite Bruhat order is a partial order $\preceq$ on $(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ defined as follows: for $x, y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, we write $x \preceq y$ if there exists a directed path from x to y in SiB^J; also, we write $x \prec y$ if $x \preceq y$ and $x \neq y$. (In [INS], we used the symbol $\leq_{\frac{\infty}{2}}$ for the semi-infinite Bruhat order, but in the present paper, we use the symbol $\preceq$ instead of $\leq_{\frac{\infty}{2}}$.)

Remark 2.3.3 ([INS, Corollary 4.2.2]). Let J be a subset of I. Let $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $\beta \in \Delta^+_{\mathrm{af}}$ be such that $x \xrightarrow{\beta} r_\beta x$ in SiB^J. Then, β is either of the following forms: $\beta = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, or $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ with $-\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Moreover, if $x = wz_\xi t_\xi$ for $w \in W^J$ and $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$ (see (2.2.5)), then $w^{-1}\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_J$ in both cases above. Hence if we write $r_\beta x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ as $r_\beta x = vz_\zeta t_\zeta$ with some $v \in W^J$ and $\zeta \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$, then $[\zeta - \xi] \in Q^{\vee +}_{I\setminus J}$ in both cases above, where $[\,\cdot\,] : Q^\vee = Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \oplus Q^\vee_J \twoheadrightarrow Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ is the projection (see (2.1.3)).

Lemma 2.3.4. *Let J be a subset of I. Let $w_1, w_2 \in W^J$, and fix $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$. Then, $w_1 z_\xi t_\xi \succeq w_2 z_\xi t_\xi$ if and only if $w_1 \geq w_2$ in the (ordinary) Bruhat order $\geq$ on W^J.*

Proof. We first show the "if" part; by the chain property (see, for example, [BB, Theorem 2.5.5]), we may assume that $w_1 = r_\alpha w_2$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_J$, and $\ell(w_1) = \ell(w_2) + 1$. Then we see easily that $w_2 z_\xi t_\xi \xrightarrow{\alpha} w_1 z_\xi t_\xi$ in the semi-infinite Bruhat graph SiB^J, and hence $w_1 z_\xi t_\xi \succeq w_2 z_\xi t_\xi$.

We next show the "only if" part; for simplicity, we give a proof only in the case where $w_1 z_\xi t_\xi$ covers $w_2 z_\xi t_\xi$, that is, $w_2 z_\xi t_\xi \xrightarrow{\beta} w_1 z_\xi t_\xi$ for some $\beta \in \Delta^+_{\mathrm{af}}$ (the proof for the general case is similar). By Remark 2.3.3, β is either of the following forms: $\beta = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, or $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ with $-\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Suppose that $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ with $-\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Then,

$$r_\beta w_2 z_\xi t_\xi = r_{-\alpha} t_\alpha w_2 z_\xi t_\xi = r_{-\alpha} w_2 z_\xi t_{\xi + z_\xi^{-1} w_2^{-1} \alpha}.$$

Since $r_\beta w_2 z_\xi t_\xi = w_1 z_\xi t_\xi$ with $\xi + z_\xi^{-1} w_2^{-1} \alpha \neq \xi$, this is a contradiction. Thus, $\beta = \alpha$ with $\alpha \in \Delta^+$. Then we can easily check that $w_1 = r_\alpha w_2$, and $\ell(w_1) = \ell(w_2) + 1$, which implies that $w_1 \geq w_2$. This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 2.3.5 ([INS, Remark 4.1.3]). *Let $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. For $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, the element $r_j x$ is contained in $(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ if and only if $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \neq 0$ (see also Lemma 2.2.3). Moreover, in this case,*

$$\begin{cases} x \prec r_j x \iff \langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0, \\ r_j x \prec x \iff \langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.3.1}$$

Lemma 2.3.6. *Let $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. Assume that $x, y \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfy $x \preceq y$. Let $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$.*

(1) *If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle \leq 0$, then $r_j x \preceq y$.*

(2) *If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle < 0$, then $x \preceq r_j y$.*

(3) *If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle > 0$, or if $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle < 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle < 0$, then $r_j x \preceq r_j y$.*

Proof. Parts (1) and (2) follow immediately from [INS, Lemma 4.1.6]. Let us prove part (3). We give a proof only for the case that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle > 0$; the proof for the case that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle < 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle < 0$ is similar. By (2.3.1) and the assumption that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle > 0$, we have $y \prec r_j y$, and hence $x \prec r_j y$. Since $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, r_j y\lambda \rangle < 0$ by the assumption, we deduce by part (1) that $r_j x \preceq r_j y$. This proves the lemma. □

2.4 Semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths.

In this subsection, we fix $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle = 0\} \subset I$.

Definition 2.4.1. For a rational number $0 < a < 1$, define $\mathrm{SiB}(\lambda\,;\, a)$ to be the subgraph of SiB^J with the same vertex set but having only the edges of the form: $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$ with $a\langle \beta^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.4.2. A semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri path (SiLS path for short) of shape λ is, by definition, a pair $(\boldsymbol{x}\,;\,\boldsymbol{a})$ of a (strictly) decreasing sequence $\boldsymbol{x} : x_1 \succ \cdots \succ x_s$ of elements in $(W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and an increasing sequence $\boldsymbol{a} : 0 = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_s = 1$ of rational numbers satisfying the condition that there exists a directed path from x_{u+1} to x_u in $\mathrm{SiB}(\lambda\,;\, a_u)$ for each $u = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$. We denote by $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ the set of all SiLS paths of shape λ.

Following [INS, §3.1], we equip the set $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ with a crystal structure (with weights in P_{af}) in the following way; for the definition of crystals, see [Kas4, §7.2] and [HK, Definition 4.5.1] for example. For $\eta = (x_1, \ldots, x_s\,;\, a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, we define $\overline{\eta} : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{\mathrm{af}}$ to be the piecewise-linear, continuous map whose "direction vector" for the interval $[a_{u-1}, a_u]$ is equal to $x_u\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$ for each $1 \leq u \leq s$, that is,

$$\overline{\eta}(t) := \sum_{p=1}^{u-1} (a_p - a_{p-1}) x_p \lambda + (t - a_{u-1}) x_u \lambda \quad \text{for } t \in [a_{u-1}, a_u],\ 1 \leq u \leq s; \tag{2.4.1}$$

note that $\overline{\eta}$ is a Lakshmibai-Seshadri path (LS path for short) of shape λ by [INS, Proposition 3.1.3 together with Eq. (2.2.2)]. Then we define $\mathrm{wt} : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \to P_{\mathrm{af}}$ by $\mathrm{wt}(\eta) := \overline{\eta}(1) \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$ (see [L2, Lemma 4.5 a)]).

Now we define operators e_j, f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, which we call root operators for $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. Set

$$\begin{cases} H_j^{\eta}(t) := \langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \overline{\eta}(t) \rangle \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1], \\ m_j^{\eta} := \min\bigl\{ H_j^{\eta}(t) \mid t \in [0,1] \bigr\}. \end{cases} \tag{2.4.2}$$

Remark 2.4.3. Since $\overline{\eta}$ is an LS path of shape λ, we see from [L2, Lemma 4.5 d)] that all local minima of the function $H_j^{\eta}(t)$, $t \in [0,1]$, are integers. In particular, the minimum m_j^{η} is a nonpositive integer (recall that $\overline{\eta}(0) = 0$, and hence $H_j^{\eta}(0) = 0$).

We define $e_j\eta$ as follows. If $m_j^{\eta} = 0$, then we set $e_j\eta := \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is an additional element not contained in any crystal. If $m_j^{\eta} \leq -1$, then set

$$\begin{cases} t_1 := \min\bigl\{ t \in [0,\, 1] \mid H_j^{\eta}(t) = m_j^{\eta} \bigr\}, \\ t_0 := \max\bigl\{ t \in [0,\, t_1] \mid H_j^{\eta}(t) = m_j^{\eta} + 1 \bigr\}; \end{cases} \tag{2.4.3}$$

from Remark 2.4.3, it follows that $H_j^{\eta}(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $[t_0,\, t_1]$. Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq s$ be such that $a_{p-1} \leq t_0 < a_p$ and $t_1 = a_q$. Then we define $e_j\eta$ by

$$\begin{aligned} e_j\eta := (&x_1, \ldots, x_p, r_j x_p, r_j x_{p+1}, \ldots, r_j x_q, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_s; \\ &a_0, \ldots, a_{p-1}, t_0, a_p, \ldots, a_q = t_1, \ldots, a_s); \end{aligned}$$

if $t_0 = a_{p-1}$, then we drop x_p and a_{p-1}, and if $r_j x_q = x_{q+1}$, then we drop x_{q+1} and $a_q = t_1$.

Similarly, we define $f_j\eta$ as follows. If $H_j^{\eta}(1) - m_j^{\eta} = 0$, then we set $f_j\eta := \mathbf{0}$. If $H_j^{\eta}(1) - m_j^{\eta} \geq 1$, then set

$$\begin{cases} t_0 := \max\bigl\{ t \in [0,1] \mid H_j^{\eta}(t) = m_j^{\eta} \bigr\}, \\ t_1 := \min\bigl\{ t \in [t_0,1] \mid H_j^{\eta}(t) = m_j^{\eta} + 1 \bigr\}; \end{cases} \tag{2.4.4}$$

from Remark 2.4.3, it follows that $H_j^{\eta}(t)$ is strictly increasing on $[t_0,\, t_1]$. Let $0 \leq p \leq q \leq s-1$ be such that $t_0 = a_p$, and $a_q < t_1 \leq a_{q+1}$. Then we define $f_j\eta$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_j\eta := (&x_1, \ldots, x_p, r_j x_{p+1}, \ldots, r_j x_q, r_j x_{q+1}, x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_s; \\ &a_0, \ldots, a_p = t_0, \ldots, a_q, t_1, a_{q+1}, \ldots, a_s); \end{aligned}$$

if $t_1 = a_{q+1}$, then we drop x_{q+1} and a_{q+1}, and if $x_p = r_j x_{p+1}$, then we drop x_p and $a_p = t_0$. Set $e_j\mathbf{0} = f_j\mathbf{0} := \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$.

Theorem 2.4.4 (see [INS, Theorem 3.1.5])**.**

(1) *The set* $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ *is stable under the action of the root operators* e_j *and* f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$.

(2) *For each* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$ *and* $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, *we set*

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_j(\eta) := \max\bigl\{k \geq 0 \mid e_j^k \eta \neq \mathbf{0}\bigr\}, \\ \varphi_j(\eta) := \max\bigl\{k \geq 0 \mid f_j^k \eta \neq \mathbf{0}\bigr\}. \end{cases}$$

Then, the set $\mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$, *equipped with the maps* wt, e_j, f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, *and* ε_j, φ_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, *defined above, is a crystal with weights in* P_{af}.

For $\eta = (x_1, \ldots, x_s\,; a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_s) \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$, we set

$$\iota(\eta) := x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(\eta) := x_s. \tag{2.4.5}$$

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Lemma 5.4.1 below.

Lemma 2.4.5. *Let* $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda)$, *and* $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$. *If* $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$, *then* $f_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$. *Moreover,* $\kappa(f_j^{\max}\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta)$ *if and only if* $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$, *where* $f_j^{\max}\eta := f_j^{\varphi_j(\eta)}\eta$.

Proof. If $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$, then we see that $H_j^{\eta}(1) - m_j^{\eta} > 0$, and hence $H_j^{\eta}(1) - m_j^{\eta} \geq 1$ by Remark 2.4.3. Therefore, $f_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$ by the definition of the root operator f_j.

Assume first that $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$, and suppose, for a contradiction, that $\kappa(f_j^{\max}\eta) = \kappa(\eta)$. Then we have $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(f_j^{\max}\eta)\lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$, and hence $f_j f_j^{\max}\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$ by the assertion just shown. However, this contradicts the definition of $f_j^{\max}\eta$. Thus we obtain $\kappa(f_j^{\max}\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta)$.

Assume next that $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle \leq 0$; we will show by induction on k that $\kappa(f_j^k\eta) = \kappa(\eta)$ for all $0 \leq k \leq \varphi_j(\eta)$. If $k = 0$, then the assertion is obvious. Assume that $k > 0$, and set $\eta' := f_j^{k-1}\eta$; by our induction hypothesis, we have $\kappa(\eta') = \kappa(\eta)$. Take $t_0, t_1 \in [0, 1]$ as in (2.4.4), with η' in place of η. Then the function $H_j^{\eta'}(t)$ is strictly increasing on $[t_0, t_1]$ (see the comment following (2.4.4)). Since $\kappa(\eta') = \kappa(\eta)$, we have $\langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta')\lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_j^{\vee}, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle \leq 0$, which implies that the function $H_j^{\eta'}(t)$ is weakly decreasing on $[1 - \epsilon, 1]$ for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore, we deduce that $t_1 < 1$, and hence $\kappa(f_j\eta') = \kappa(\eta')$ by the definition of the root operator f_j. Combining the above, we obtain $\kappa(f_j^k\eta) = \kappa(f_j\eta') = \kappa(\eta') = \kappa(\eta)$. This proves the lemma. □

2.5 SiLS paths associated with multi-partitions.

As in the previous subsection, we fix $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \bigl\{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^{\vee}, \lambda \rangle = 0\bigr\} \subset I$. We write $\lambda \in P^+$ as $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \varpi_i$ with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I$, and define

$$\overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} := \bigl\{\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i \in I} \mid \rho^{(i)} \text{ is a partition of length} \leq m_i \text{ for each } i \in I\bigr\}, \tag{2.5.1}$$

$$\mathrm{Par}(\lambda) := \bigl\{\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i \in I} \mid \rho^{(i)} \text{ is a partition of length} < m_i \text{ for each } i \in I\bigr\}; \tag{2.5.2}$$

we understand that a partition of length less than 0 is the empty partition $\emptyset$ (the sets $\overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$ and $\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ are identical to $\mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{R}_0}(\lambda)$ and $\mathbf{N}^{\mathcal{R}_0}(\lambda)'$ in the notation of [BN, Definition 4.2 and

page 371], respectively). Note that $\mathrm{Par}(\lambda) \subset \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$. For $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$, we set $|\mathbf{c}_0| := \sum_{i\in I} |\rho^{(i)}|$, where for a partition $\chi = (\chi_1 \geq \chi_2 \geq \cdots \geq \chi_m)$, we set $|\chi| := \chi_1 + \cdots + \chi_m$. We equip the set $\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ with a crystal structure as follows: for each $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, we set

$$\begin{cases} e_j\mathbf{c}_0 = f_j\mathbf{c}_0 := \mathbf{0}, \quad \varepsilon_j(\mathbf{c}_0) = \varphi_j(\mathbf{c}_0) := -\infty \quad \text{for } j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}, \\ \mathrm{wt}(\mathbf{c}_0) := -|\mathbf{c}_0|\delta. \end{cases} \tag{2.5.3}$$

Let $\mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$ denote the set of all connected components of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, and let $\mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$ denote the connected component of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ containing $\eta_e := (e\,;\,0,\,1) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$.

Proposition 2.5.1. *Keep the notation above.*

(1) *Each connected component $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$ of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ contains a unique element of the form:*

$$\eta^C = (z_{\xi_1}t_{\xi_1},\, z_{\xi_2}t_{\xi_2},\, \ldots,\, z_{\xi_{s-1}}t_{\xi_{s-1}},\, e\,;\, a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots,\, a_{s-1},\, a_s) \tag{2.5.4}$$

for some $s \geq 1$ and $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_{s-1} \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ (see [INS, Proposition 7.1.2]); recall that e denotes the unit element of W_{af}.

(2) *There exists a bijection $\Theta : \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)) \to \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(\eta^C) = \lambda - |\Theta(C)|\delta = \lambda + \mathrm{wt}(\Theta(C))$ (see [INS, Proposition 7.2.1 and its proof]).*

(3) *Let $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$. Then, there exists an isomorphism $C \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\Theta(C)\} \otimes \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ of crystals that maps η^C to $\Theta(C) \otimes \eta_e$. Consequently, $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic as a crystal to $\mathrm{Par}(\lambda) \otimes \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ (see [INS, Proposition 3.2.4 and its proof]).*

2.6 Dual crystal of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$.

As in the previous subsection, we fix $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\, \lambda\rangle = 0\} \subset I$. Let $w_0 \in W$ denote the longest element of the (finite) Weyl group W, and define an involution $\sigma : I \to I$ by $w_0\alpha_j = -\alpha_{\sigma(j)}$ for $j \in I$; recall that $w_0^2 = e$, and hence σ^2 is the identity map on I. Note that $-w_0\lambda \in P^+$, and $J_{-w_0\lambda} = \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\, -w_0\lambda\rangle = 0\} = \sigma(J)$. Also, let $w_{\sigma(J),0} \in W_{\sigma(J)}$ denote the longest element of the (finite) Weyl group $W_{\sigma(J)}$; we see from (2.1.4) that the minimal coset representative $\lfloor w_0 \rfloor^{\sigma(J)} \in W^{\sigma(J)}$ is identical to $w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0}$.

Now, for $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, we set $x^\vee := x\lfloor w_0 \rfloor^{\sigma(J)} = x w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0}$. Then it follows easily from definition (2.2.4) that $x^\vee \in (W^{\sigma(J)})_{\mathrm{af}}$; notice that $\lfloor w_0 \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}\beta = w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0}\beta \in (\Delta_J)^+_{\mathrm{af}}$ for all $\beta \in (\Delta_{\sigma(J)})^+_{\mathrm{af}}$. Moreover, we have (cf. [LNS^{3}1, Proposition 4.3 (2)])

$$\ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(x^\vee) = \underbrace{\ell(w_0) - \ell(w_{\sigma(J),0})}_{=\ell(\lfloor w_0 \rfloor^{\sigma(J)})} - \ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}. \tag{2.6.1}$$

Indeed, if $x = w z_\xi t_\xi$ for $w \in W^J$ and $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ (see (2.2.5)), then

$$\ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(x^\vee) = \ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(w z_\xi t_\xi w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0}) = \ell^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(w z_\xi w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0} t_{(w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0})^{-1}\xi})$$

$$= \ell(\lfloor w z_\xi w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0} \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}) + 2\langle (w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0})^{-1}\xi,\, \rho - \rho_{\sigma(J)} \rangle \tag{2.6.2}$$

by [INS, Lemma A.2.1], where for a subset K of I, we set $\rho_K := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_K^+} \alpha$. Since $-w_0(\Delta_K^+) = \Delta_{\sigma(K)}^+$ for a subset K of I, it follows that $w_0 \rho_{\sigma(J)} = w_0^{-1} \rho_{\sigma(J)} = -\rho_J$ and $w_0 \rho = -\rho$. Also, notice that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \rho - \rho_{\sigma(J)} \rangle = 0$ for all $j \in \sigma(J)$, which implies that $z(\rho - \rho_{\sigma(J)}) = \rho - \rho_{\sigma(J)}$ for all $z \in W_{\sigma(J)}$. Combining these, we deduce that

$$\langle (w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0})^{-1}\xi,\, \rho - \rho_{\sigma(J)} \rangle = \langle \xi,\, w_0(\rho - \rho_{\sigma(J)}) \rangle = -\langle \xi,\, \rho - \rho_J \rangle. \tag{2.6.3}$$

Next, we compute

$$\ell(\lfloor w z_\xi w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0} \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}) = \ell(\lfloor w z_\xi w_0 \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}) = \ell(\lfloor w_0 (w_0 w w_0)(w_0 z_\xi w_0) \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}).$$

Since $z_\xi \in W_J$, it follows immediately that $w_0 z_\xi w_0 \in W_{\sigma(J)}$. Also, since $w \in W^J$, we see that $w_0 w w_0 \in W^{\sigma(J)}$. Therefore, we have

$$\ell(\lfloor w z_\xi w_0 w_{\sigma(J),0} \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}) = \ell(\lfloor w_0 (\underbrace{w_0 w w_0}_{\in W^{\sigma(J)}})(\underbrace{w_0 z_\xi w_0}_{\in W_{\sigma(J)}}) \rfloor^{\sigma(J)}) = \ell(\lfloor w_0 \underbrace{(w_0 w w_0)}_{\in W^{\sigma(J)}} \rfloor^{\sigma(J)})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \ell(w_0) - \ell(w_{\sigma(J),0}) - \ell(w_0 w w_0) \quad \text{by [LNS}^3\text{1, Proposition 4.3 (2)]} \\ &= \ell(w_0) - \ell(w_{\sigma(J),0}) - \ell(w). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6.4}$$

Substituting (2.6.3) and (2.6.4) into (2.6.2), we obtain (2.6.1). From the definition of the (parabolic) semi-infinite Bruhat graph, by using (2.6.1), we easily obtain the next lemma.

Lemma 2.6.1. *Let $0 < a \leq 1$ be a rational number. Let $x,\, y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, and $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}^+$. Then, $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$ in $\mathrm{SiB}(\lambda\,;\, a)$ if and only if $y^\vee \xrightarrow{\beta} x^\vee$ in $\mathrm{SiB}(-w_0\lambda\,;\, a)$.*

For $\eta = (x_1,\, \ldots,\, x_s\,;\, a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots,\, a_s) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, we set

$$\eta^\vee := (x_s^\vee,\, \ldots,\, x_1^\vee\,;\, 1 - a_s,\, \ldots,\, 1 - a_1,\, 1 - a_0). \tag{2.6.5}$$

By Lemma 2.6.1, we see that $\eta^\vee \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(-w_0\lambda)$. Also, in the same way as [L2, Lemma 2.1 e)] (cf. [Kas4, §7.4]), it is easily shown that for $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$,

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{wt}(\eta^\vee) = -\,\mathrm{wt}(\eta), \quad \text{and} \\ (e_j\eta)^\vee = f_j\eta^\vee,\, (f_j\eta)^\vee = e_j\eta^\vee \quad \text{for all } j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}, \end{cases} \tag{2.6.6}$$

where we set $\mathbf{0}^\vee := \mathbf{0}$.

3 Extremal weight modules and their crystal bases.

3.1 Quantized universal enveloping algebras.

Let $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ denote the nondegenerate, symmetric, $\mathbb{C}$-bilinear form on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{af}}^*$, normalized as in [Kac, §6], and fix a positive integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $(\alpha_j,\, \alpha_j)/2 \in \mathbb{Z}d^{-1}$ for all $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$. Let q be an

indeterminate, and set $q_s := q^{1/d}$. Denote by $U_q = U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}) = \langle E_j,\, F_j,\, q^h \mid j \in I_{\mathrm{af}},\, h \in d^{-1}P^\vee \rangle$ the quantized universal enveloping algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$ associated with $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$, where E_j and F_j denote the Chevalley generators corresponding to the simple root α_j for $j \in I$, and denote by $U_q^+ = \langle E_j \mid j \in I_{\mathrm{af}} \rangle$ (resp., $U_q^- = \langle F_j \mid j \in I_{\mathrm{af}} \rangle$) the $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-subalgebra of U_q generated by E_j (resp., F_j), $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$. We define a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-algebra involutive automorphism $\vee : U_q \to U_q$ and a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-algebra involutive anti-automorphism $* : U_q \to U_q$ by:

$$E_j^\vee = F_j, \quad F_j^\vee = E_j, \quad (q^h)^\vee = q^{-h}, \quad \text{and} \tag{3.1.1}$$

$$E_j^* = E_j, \quad F_j^* = F_j, \quad (q^h)^* = q^{-h} \tag{3.1.2}$$

for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $h \in d^{-1}P^\vee$. Also, we define a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra involutive automorphism $\overline{} : U_q \to U_q$ by:

$$\overline{E_j} = E_j, \quad \overline{F_j} = F_j, \quad \overline{q^h} = q^{-h}, \quad \overline{q_s} = q_s^{-1} \tag{3.1.3}$$

for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $h \in d^{-1}P^\vee$.

Let $(\mathcal{L}(\pm\infty), \mathcal{B}(\pm\infty))$ denote the crystal basis of $U_q^\mp$, with $u_{\pm\infty} \in \mathcal{B}(\pm\infty)$ the element corresponding to $1 \in U_q^\mp$. Recall from [Kas2, Theorem 2.1.1] and [Kas4, §8.3] that $* : U_q \to U_q$ induces an involution on $\mathcal{B}(\pm\infty)$, which is also denoted by $*$; we call this involution the $*$-operation on $\mathcal{B}(\pm\infty)$.

3.2 Extremal weight vectors and extremal elements.

Let M be an integrable U_q-module. A nonzero weight vector $v \in M$ of weight $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$ is said to be extremal (see [Kas6, §3.1] and [Kas7, §2.6]) if there exists a family $\{v_x\}_{x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}}$ of weight vectors in M such that $v_e = v$, and such that for every $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ with $n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$ (resp., ≤ 0), the equalities $E_j v_x = 0$ and $F_j^{(n)} v_x = v_{r_j x}$ (resp., $F_j v_x = 0$ and $E_j^{(-n)} v_x = v_{r_j x}$) hold, where $E_j^{(k)}$ and $F_j^{(k)}$ are the divided powers of E_j and F_j, respectively, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; observe that the weight of v_x is equal to $x\lambda$. Then the Weyl group W_{af} acts on the set of extremal weight vectors in M by

$$S_{r_j}^{\mathrm{norm}} v := \begin{cases} F_j^{(n)} v & \text{if } n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \mu \rangle \geq 0, \\ E_j^{(-n)} v & \text{if } n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \mu \rangle \leq 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.2.1}$$

for an extremal weight vector $v \in M$ of weight $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ (see [Kas7, (2.23)]); if $\{v_x\}_{x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}}$ is the family of weight vectors associated with an extremal weight vector v, then $v_x = S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v$ for all $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$.

Now, let $\mathcal{B}$ be a regular (or normal) crystal in the sense of [Kas6, §2.2] (or [Kas3, p. 389]); in particular, as a crystal for $U_q(\mathfrak{g}) \subset U_q(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}})$, it decomposes into a disjoint union of ordinary highest weight crystals. By [Kas3, §7], the Weyl group W_{af} acts on $\mathcal{B}$ by

$$S_{r_j} b := \begin{cases} f_j^n b & \text{if } n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \mathrm{wt}\, b \rangle \geq 0, \\ e_j^{-n} b & \text{if } n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \mathrm{wt}\, b \rangle \leq 0 \end{cases} \tag{3.2.2}$$

for $b \in \mathcal{B}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, where e_j and f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, are the Kashiwara operators on $\mathcal{B}$. An element $b \in \mathcal{B}$ of weight $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$ is said to be extremal (see [Kas7, §2.6]; cf. [Kas6, §3.1]) if $e_j S_x b = \mathbf{0}$ (resp., $f_j S_x b = \mathbf{0}$) for all $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$ (resp., ≤ 0).

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let M be an integrable U_q-module, and assume that M has a crystal basis $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{B})$ with global basis $\{G(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}\}$ (see [Kas6, Definitions 2.2.2 and 2.2.3] for example); note that $\mathcal{B}$ is a regular crystal. If $b \in \mathcal{B}$ is an extremal element of weight λ, then the global basis element $G(b)$ is an extremal weight vector of weight λ. Moreover, we have $G(S_x b) = S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} G(b)$ for all $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$.*

Proof. We set $v := G(b)$, and $v_x := G(S_x b)$ for $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$; it suffices to show that the family $\{v_x\}_{x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}}$ satisfies the condition for v to be an extremal weight vector. It is obvious that $v_e = v$. Let $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$. Assume that $n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$. Then, $e_j S_x b = \mathbf{0}$ and $f_j^{n+1} S_x b = f_j S_{r_j x} b = \mathbf{0}$ by the definition of an extremal element. Hence, by exactly the same argument as for [Kas1, Lemma 5.1.1], we obtain $E_j v_x = E_j G(S_x b) = 0$ and $F_j^{(n)} v_x = F_j^{(n)} G(S_x b) = G(f_j^n S_x b) = G(S_{r_j x} b) = v_{r_j x}$. Similarly, it is shown that if $n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \leq 0$, then $F_j v_x = 0$ and $E_j^{(-n)} v_x = v_{r_j x}$. This proves the lemma. □

3.3 Extremal weight modules.

Let $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$ be an arbitrary integral weight. Let $V(\lambda)$ denote the extremal weight module of extremal weight λ over U_q, which is an integrable U_q-module generated by a single element v_λ with the defining relation that v_λ is an "extremal weight vector" of weight λ (for details, see [Kas3, §8] and [Kas6, §3]). We know from [Kas3, Proposition 8.2.2] that $V(\lambda)$ has a crystal basis $(\mathcal{L}(\lambda), \mathcal{B}(\lambda))$ with global basis $\{G(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)\}$. Denote by u_λ the element of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ such that $G(u_\lambda) = v_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$.

Remark 3.3.1. Let $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$. The crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a regular crystal, and $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is an extremal element of weight λ. Also, by Lemma 3.2.1, we have

$$G(S_x u_\lambda) = S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} G(u_\lambda) = S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \qquad \text{for all } x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}. \tag{3.3.1}$$

For $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$, let $\mathcal{T}_\mu = \{\tau_\mu\}$ denote the crystal consisting of a single element of weight μ (see [Kas4, Example 7.3]). We see from [Kas3, Theorems 2.1.1 (v) and 3.1.1] that $\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ is a regular crystal for each $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$. The $*$-operation on the crystal $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} := \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ is given as follows (see [Kas3, Corollary 4.3.3]): for $b_1 \otimes \tau_\mu \otimes b_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ with $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$,

$$(b_1 \otimes \tau_\mu \otimes b_2)^* := b_1^* \otimes \tau_{-\mu - \mathrm{wt}(b_1) - \mathrm{wt}(b_2)} \otimes b_2^*. \tag{3.3.2}$$

For each $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, we define maps e_j^* and f_j^* from $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \sqcup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ to itself by $e_j^* := * \circ e_j \circ *$ and $f_j^* := * \circ e_j \circ *$, where we understand that $\mathbf{0}^* = \mathbf{0}$; we know from [Kas3, Theorem 5.1.1] that for every $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, the maps e_j^* and f_j^* are strict morphisms of crystals. Also, for each $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, the

map $S_x^* := * \circ S_x \circ *$ is a strict automorphism of the crystal $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ that maps $\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ onto $\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{x\mu} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$. We know the following from [Kas3, Proposition 8.2.2], [Kas6, §3.1], and [Kas7, §2.6].

Proposition 3.3.2.

(1) *For each $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$, the subset $\left\{ b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \mid b^* \text{ is extremal} \right\}$ is a subcrystal of $\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Moreover, it is isomorphic as a crystal to the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$; hence we regard $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ as a subcrystal of $\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$.*

(2) *Let $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$, and $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$. If $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$, then $S_x^*(b) \in \mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{x\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$. Thus, S_x^* gives an isomorphism of crystals from $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ onto $\mathcal{B}(x\lambda)$, that is,*

$$S_x^* : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}(x\lambda).$$

(3) *Let $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$, and $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$. There exists a U_q-module isomorphism*

$$V(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(x\lambda) \tag{3.3.3}$$

that maps $v_\lambda \in V(\lambda)$ to $S_{x^{-1}}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_{x\lambda} \in V(x\lambda)$. Moreover, this isomorphism is compatible with the global bases; namely, for $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, the global basis element $G(b) \in V(\lambda)$ is sent to $G(S_x^*(b)) \in V(x\lambda)$ under this isomorphism.

3.4 Dual crystal of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.

It is easily seen that the $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-algebra involutive automorphism $\vee : U_q \xrightarrow{\sim} U_q$ (see (3.1.1)) induces a bijection $\vee : \mathcal{B}(\pm\infty) \to \mathcal{B}(\mp\infty)$; we see that for $b \in \mathcal{B}(\pm\infty)$,

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{wt}(b^\vee) = -\mathrm{wt}(b), \quad \text{and} \\ (e_j b)^\vee = f_j b^\vee, (f_j b)^\vee = e_j b^\vee \quad \text{for all } j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}, \end{cases} \tag{3.4.1}$$

where we set $\mathbf{0}^\vee := \mathbf{0}$. We define an involution $\vee$ on the crystal $\bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ as follows: for $b_1 \otimes \tau_\mu \otimes b_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$, $\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$,

$$(b_1 \otimes \tau_\mu \otimes b_2)^\vee := b_2^\vee \otimes \tau_{-\mu} \otimes b_1^\vee \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{-\mu} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty); \tag{3.4.2}$$

we see that the same equalities as those in (3.4.1) hold for $b \in \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$.

Remark 3.4.1. We deduce that $(S_x b)^\vee = S_x b^\vee$ for all $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $b \in \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$, which implies that if $b \in \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ is an extremal element, then so is $b^\vee$.

From the definitions (3.1.1) and (3.1.2), we see easily that $\vee \circ * = * \circ \vee$ holds on U_q, and hence $(b^*)^\vee = (b^\vee)^*$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}(\pm\infty)$. Hence, from the definitions (3.3.2) and (3.4.2), it follows that

$$\vee \circ * = * \circ \vee \quad \text{holds on} \quad \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty). \tag{3.4.3}$$

Therefore, we deduce from Remark 3.4.1 that if $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \cong \big\{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \mid b^* \text{ is extremal}\big\}$, then $b^\vee \in \mathcal{B}(-\lambda) \cong \big\{b \in \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{-\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty) \mid b^* \text{ is extremal}\big\}$. Note that the following equalities hold for $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{wt}(b^\vee) = -\mathrm{wt}(b), \quad \text{and} \\ (e_j b)^\vee = f_j b^\vee, (f_j b)^\vee = e_j b^\vee \quad \text{for all } j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}. \end{cases} \tag{3.4.4}$$

3.5 Isomorphism theorem.

Let $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \varpi_i \in P^+$ be a level-zero dominant integral weight, and $\overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$ and $\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ as defined in (2.5.1) and (2.5.2), respectively. For each $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$, we define an element $S_{\mathbf{c}_0} \in U_q^+$ of weight $|\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$ as on page 352 of [BN]; this is a basis element of the "imaginary part" of U_q^+, and is identical to $B_{\mathbf{c}} = L(\mathbf{c}, 0)$ for $\mathbf{c} = (0, \mathbf{c}_0, 0) = \mathbf{c}_0$ (see [BN, the paragraph including Eq. (3.11)]). Also, we set $S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0} := \overline{S^\vee_{\mathbf{c}_0}} \in U_q^-$ (see [BN, Remark 4.1]); note that the weight of $S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0}$ is equal to $-|\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$. We deduce from [BN, Proposition 3.27] that

$$b(\mathbf{c}_0) := S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0} + q_s \mathcal{L}(\infty) \tag{3.5.1}$$

is contained in $\mathcal{B}(\infty)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$ denote the connected component of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ containing u_λ. We know the following from [BN, Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.16 (and their proofs)] .

Proposition 3.5.1. *Keep the notation and setting above.*

(1) *For each $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, the element $u^{\mathbf{c}_0} := b(\mathbf{c}_0) \otimes \tau_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$ is an extremal element of weight $\lambda - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$ contained in $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\lambda \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$.*

(2) *Each connected component of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ contains a unique element of the form $u^{\mathbf{c}_0} = b(\mathbf{c}_0) \otimes \tau_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$ with $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$. Moreover, in this case, there exists an isomorphism of crystals from the connected component containing $u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$ onto $\big\{\mathbf{c}_0\big\} \otimes \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$ that maps $u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$ to $\mathbf{c}_0 \otimes u_\lambda$. Consequently, $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic as a crystal to $\mathrm{Par}(\lambda) \otimes \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$.*

We know from [INS, Proposition 3.2.2] that there exists an isomorphism $\mathcal{B}_0(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ of crystals that maps $u_\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$ to $\eta_e = (e\,; 0, 1) \in \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. Therefore, by combining Propositions 2.5.1 and 3.5.1, we deduce that there exists an isomorphism

$$\Psi_\lambda : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \tag{3.5.2}$$

of crystals that maps $u^{\mathbf{c}_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ to $\eta^{\Theta^{-1}(\mathbf{c}_0)} \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ for each $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$. Also, we define a bijection $\Psi_\lambda^\vee : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_\lambda^\vee} & \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle S_{w_0}^* \circ \vee}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \vee} \\ \mathcal{B}(-w_0\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{-w_0\lambda}} & \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(-w_0\lambda). \end{array} \tag{3.5.3}$$

Then we see from (2.6.6) and (3.4.4) that the map $\Psi_\lambda^\vee$ above is an isomorphism of crystals.

Remark 3.5.2. Keep the notation and setting above.

(1) We know from [INS, Remark 7.2.2] that η^C is an extremal element for every $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$.

(2) Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ be such that $\overline{\eta}(t) \equiv t\lambda \mod \mathbb{R}\delta$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Then it is easily seen by using (2.2.5) that η is of the form:

$$\eta = (z_{\zeta_1} t_{\zeta_1}, \ldots, z_{\zeta_s} t_{\zeta_s} ; a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_s)$$

for some $s \geq 1$ and $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s \in Q^{\vee, J\text{-ad}}$ (see also [INS, Proposition 7.1.1]). Moreover, by the same argument as for [INS, Eq. (5.1.6)], we can show that

$$S_x\eta = \big(\Pi^J(xz_{\zeta_1}t_{\zeta_1}), \ldots, \Pi^J(xz_{\zeta_s}t_{\zeta_s}) ; a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_s\big) \tag{3.5.4}$$

for all $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$. In particular, $\eta = S_{z_{\zeta_s}t_{\zeta_s}}\eta^C$, with C the connected component containing η.

4 Characterization of Demazure subcrystals in terms of SiLS paths.

4.1 Demazure subcrystals of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.

Let $\lambda \in P_{\mathrm{af}}$. For each $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, we set

$$V_x^\pm(\lambda) := U_q^\pm S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \subset V(\lambda). \tag{4.1.1}$$

Under the U_q-module isomorphism $V(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(x\lambda)$ in (3.3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\lambda) \supset V_x^\pm(\lambda) = U_q^\pm S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ U_q^\pm S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} S_{x^{-1}}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_{x\lambda} = U_q^\pm v_{x\lambda} = V_e^\pm(x\lambda) =: V^\pm(x\lambda) \subset V(x\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

We know from [Kas7, §2.8] that $V^\pm(x\lambda) = U_q^\pm v_{x\lambda}$ is compatible with the global basis of $V(x\lambda)$, that is, there exists a subset $\mathcal{B}^\pm(x\lambda)$ of the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(x\lambda)$ such that

$$V^\pm(x\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}^\pm(x\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q_s)G(b) \subset V(x\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(x\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q_s)G(b). \tag{4.1.2}$$

Since the U_q-module isomorphism $V(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(x\lambda)$ in (3.3.3) is compatible with the global bases, it follows that $V_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = U_q^{\pm} S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ is also compatible with the global basis of $V(\lambda)$; namely, if we define $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda)$ to be the inverse image of $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(x\lambda)$ under the isomorphism $S_x^* : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}(x\lambda)$ of crystals, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda) := S_{x^{-1}}^*(\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(x\lambda))$, then

$$V_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b) \subset V(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b). \tag{4.1.3}$$

Here, the subsets $\mathcal{B}^{\pm}(x\lambda)$ in (4.1.2) can be described as follows (see page 234 of [Kas7]):

$$\mathcal{B}^{+}(x\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \cap (u_\infty \otimes \tau_{x\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)), \tag{4.1.4}$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{-}(x\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{x\lambda} \otimes u_{-\infty}). \tag{4.1.5}$$

From these, we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_x^{+}(\lambda) = S_{x^{-1}}^*\Big(\underbrace{\mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \cap (u_\infty \otimes \tau_{x\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty))}_{=\mathcal{B}^{+}(x\lambda)}\Big), \tag{4.1.6}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_x^{-}(\lambda) = S_{x^{-1}}^*\Big(\underbrace{\mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{x\lambda} \otimes u_{-\infty})}_{=\mathcal{B}^{-}(x\lambda)}\Big). \tag{4.1.7}$$

Remark 4.1.1. From (4.1.7) (resp., (4.1.6)), using the tensor product rule for crystals, we see that the set $\mathcal{B}_x^{-}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ (resp., $\mathcal{B}_x^{+}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$) is stable under the action of the Kashiwara operator f_j (resp., e_j) for all $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ (see also [Kas7, Lemma 2.6 (i)]).

Lemma 4.1.2. *Let $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. For $x, y \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$,*

$$V_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = V_y^{\pm}(\lambda) \iff \mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda) \iff x^{-1}y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}. \tag{4.1.8}$$

Proof. It is obvious from the definitions that $V_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = V_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$ if and only if $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$. First we show that if $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$, then $x^{-1}y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. We see from the definitions that the weights of elements in $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda)$ are all contained in $x\lambda \pm Q_{\mathrm{af}}^+$. Moreover, since $V_x^{\pm}(\lambda)_{x\lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(q_s) S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(S_x u_\lambda)$ by Remark 3.3.1, we deduce that $S_x u_\lambda$ is a unique element of weight $x\lambda$ in $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda)$. Similarly, the weights of elements in $\mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$ are all contained in $y\lambda \pm Q_{\mathrm{af}}^+$, and $S_y u_\lambda$ is a unique element of weight $y\lambda$ in $\mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$. Since $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$ by the assumption, we conclude from the above that $x\lambda = y\lambda$, and hence $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda)_{x\lambda} = \mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)_{y\lambda}$. Because $\mathcal{B}_x^{\pm}(\lambda)_{x\lambda} = \{S_x u_\lambda\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_y^{\pm}(\lambda)_{y\lambda} = \{S_y u_\lambda\}$ as seen above, it follows immediately that $S_x u_\lambda = S_y u_\lambda$, and hence $S_{x^{-1}y} u_\lambda = u_\lambda$. Therefore, by [INS, Proposition 5.1.1], we obtain $x^{-1}y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, as desired.

Next we show that if $x^{-1}y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, then $V_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = V_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$. If $x^{-1}y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, then we have $S_x u_\lambda = S_y u_\lambda$ by [INS, Proposition 5.1.1], and hence

$$S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = G(S_x u_\lambda) = G(S_y u_\lambda) = S_y^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \quad \text{by Remark 3.3.1.}$$

Therefore, we obtain $V_x^{\pm}(\lambda) = U_q^{\pm} S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = U_q^{\pm} S_y^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = V_y^{\pm}(\lambda)$, as desired. □

Remark 4.1.3. Let $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$.

(1) We have $\{x \in W_{\mathrm{af}} \mid x\lambda = \lambda\} \supseteq (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, in which the equality holds if and only if λ is of the form $\lambda = m\varpi_i$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $i \in I$.

(2) Let x, $y \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$. In view of Lemma 4.1.2 and part (1), the equality $x\lambda = y\lambda$ does not necessarily imply the equality $V_x^\pm(\lambda) = V_y^\pm(\lambda)$. However, in this case, there exists a U_q-module automorphism $V(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\lambda)$ such that $v_\lambda \mapsto S_{x^{-1}y}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ (see (3.3.3)). Under this automorphism, $V_x^\pm(\lambda) = U_q^\pm S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ is mapped to $U_q^\pm S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} S_{x^{-1}y}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = U_q^\pm S_y^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = V_y^\pm(\lambda)$. Thus we conclude that if $x\lambda = y\lambda$, then $V_x^\pm(\lambda)$ is conjugate to $V_y^\pm(\lambda)$ under a U_q-module automorphism of $V(\lambda)$. Similarly, if $x\lambda = y\lambda$, then $\mathcal{B}_x^\pm(\lambda)$ is conjugate to $\mathcal{B}_y^\pm(\lambda)$ under the crystal automorphism $S_{y^{-1}x}^*$ of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$.

4.2 Demazure subcrystals of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$.

Let $\lambda \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. For $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, we define $\iota(\eta) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $\kappa(\eta) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ as in (2.4.5). For each $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B}_{x\succeq}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) &:= \{\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \mid x \succeq \iota(\eta)\}, \\ \mathbb{B}_{\preceq x}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) &:= \{\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \mid \kappa(\eta) \succeq x\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2.1}$$

We are now ready to state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 4.2.1. *For every $\lambda \in P^+$ and $x \in (W^{J_\lambda})_{\mathrm{af}}$, there hold the equalities*

$$\Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}_{\preceq x}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Psi_\lambda^\vee(\mathcal{B}_x^+(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}_{x\succeq}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda). \tag{4.2.2}$$

Remark 4.2.2. In view of Lemma 4.1.2, together with Proposition 2.2.1, we may assume that $x \in (W^{J_\lambda})_{\mathrm{af}}$ in Theorem 4.2.1.

Here, let us remark that the second equality in (4.2.2) follows from the first one in (4.2.2). Let $\lambda \in P^+$, and $x \in (W^{J_\lambda})_{\mathrm{af}}$; recall that $\mu := -w_0\lambda \in P^+$, and $x^\vee \in (W^{J_\mu})_{\mathrm{af}}$ (for the definitions, see §2.6). Since $S_y^* \circ \vee = \vee \circ S_y^*$ holds on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}} = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in P_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \mathcal{T}_\mu \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty)$ for all $y \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ (see Remark 3.4.1 and (3.4.3)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{w_0}^*\big(\mathcal{B}_x^+(\lambda)\big)^\vee &= S_{w_0}^*\Big\{S_{x^{-1}}^*\Big(\mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \cap (u_\infty \otimes \tau_{x\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty))\Big)\Big\}^\vee \quad \text{by (4.1.6)} \\ &= S_{w_0}^* S_{x^{-1}}^* \big\{\mathcal{B}(x\lambda) \cap (u_\infty \otimes \tau_{x\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{B}(-\infty))\big\}^\vee \\ &= S_{w_0 x^{-1}}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(-x\lambda) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{-x\lambda} \otimes u_{-\infty})\big) \\ &= S_{w_0 x^{-1}}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(xw_0\mu) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{xw_0\mu} \otimes u_{-\infty}\big) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{xw_0}^-(\mu) \quad \text{by (4.1.7)} \\ &= \mathcal{B}_{x^\vee}^-(\mu) \quad \text{by Lemma 4.1.2.} \end{aligned}$$

Also, it is easily seen from the definitions (2.6.5) and (4.2.1), by using Lemma 2.6.1, that $\mathbb{B}_{x\succeq}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) = \big(\mathbb{B}_{\preceq x^\vee}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mu)\big)^\vee$. Therefore, if the equality $\Psi_\mu(\mathcal{B}_{x^\vee}^-(\mu)) = \mathbb{B}_{\preceq x^\vee}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\mu)$ holds, then the equality $\Psi_\lambda^\vee(\mathcal{B}_x^+(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}_{x\succeq}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ also holds by the definition (3.5.3) of $\Psi_\lambda^\vee$. Thus, the remaining task is to prove the first equality in (4.2.2).

5 Proof of Theorem 4.2.1.

Throughout this section, we fix $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i \in P^+$, with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I$, and then set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee, \lambda\rangle = 0\}$.

5.1 Fundamental properties of $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$, part 1.

Proposition 5.1.1 and Corollary 5.1.2 below are easy consequences of [Kas7, §2.8], but we include their proofs for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 5.1.1. *Let $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ be such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda\rangle \geq 0$. Then,*

$$\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) = \{e_j^k b \mid b \in \mathcal{B}_{r_jx}^-(\lambda),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}; \tag{5.1.1}$$

in particular, $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \supset \mathcal{B}_{r_jx}^-(\lambda)$. Consequently, the set $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is stable under the action of the Kashiwara operator e_j for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda\rangle \geq 0$ (see also Remark 4.1.1).

Proof. First, we show the equality

$$U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda. \tag{5.1.2}$$

Here, $U_q^{(j)}$ denotes the $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-subalgebra of U_q generated by E_j, F_j, and $q^{\alpha_j^\vee}$, which is isomorphic to the quantized universal enveloping algebra associated with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$; recall the triangular decomposition $U_q^{(j)} = \langle E_j\rangle\langle q^{\alpha_j^\vee}\rangle\langle F_j\rangle$ of $U_q^{(j)}$. We show the inclusion $\supset$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda &= U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_{r_j}^{\mathrm{norm}} S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = U_q^{(j)} U_q^- F_j^{(\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda\rangle)} S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \qquad (*)\\
&\subset U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = \langle E_j\rangle\langle q^{\alpha_j^\vee}\rangle\langle F_j\rangle U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda\\
&= \langle E_j\rangle U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \subset U_q^- \langle E_j\rangle S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \quad \text{since } [E_j, F_l] \in \langle q^{\alpha_j^\vee}\rangle \text{ for all } l \in I_{\mathrm{af}}\\
&= U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \quad \text{since } E_j S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = 0 \text{ by the assumption } \langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda\rangle \geq 0;
\end{aligned}$$

the second equality in $(*)$ follows from the fact that $S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ is an extremal weight vector of weight $x\lambda$, and the assumption that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda\rangle \geq 0$. Similarly, we show the opposite inclusion $\subset$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda &= U_q^- S_{r_j}^{\mathrm{norm}} S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda = U_q^- E_j^{(\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda\rangle)} S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda\\
&\subset U_q^- \langle E_j\rangle S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \subset \langle E_j\rangle U_q^- S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda \subset U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the equality (5.1.2). Since the left-hand side of (5.1.2) is identical to $V_x^-(\lambda)$, we see that $U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ is compatible with the global basis of $V(\lambda)$, and has the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$.

Now, recall from (3.3.3) that there exists a U_q-module isomorphism $V(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(r_jx\lambda)$ that maps v_λ to $S_{x^{-1}r_j}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_{r_jx\lambda}$. Under this isomorphism, the right-hand side $U_q^{(j)} U_q^- S_{r_jx}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ $(\subset V(\lambda))$ of (5.1.2) is mapped to $U_q^{(j)} U_q^- v_{r_jx\lambda} = U_q^{(j)} V^-(r_jx\lambda)$ $(\subset V(r_jx\lambda))$. When we regard $V(r_jx\lambda)$

as a $U_q^{(j)}$-module by restriction, $V^-(r_jx\lambda)$ is regarded as an $\langle F_j\rangle$-module, which is compatible with the global basis of $V(r_jx\lambda)$. Therefore, we deduce from (the dual version of) [Kas7, Eq. (2.28) and comments following it] that $U_q^{(j)}V^-(r_jx\lambda) = \langle E_j\rangle V^-(r_jx\lambda)$ is also compatible with the global basis of $V(r_jx)$, and has the crystal basis

$$\bigl\{e_j^k b \mid b \in \mathcal{B}^-(r_jx\lambda),\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\bigr\} \setminus \bigl\{\mathbf{0}\bigr\}.$$

Recall that the U_q-module isomorphism $V(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(r_jx\lambda)$ is compatible with the global bases, and induces the crystal isomorphism $S^*_{r_jx} : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{B}(r_jx\lambda)$. Namely, we have the following correspondences of modules and their crystal bases:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & V(r_jx\lambda) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ U_q^{(j)}U_q^- S^{\mathrm{norm}}_{r_jx} v_\lambda & \xrightarrow{\sim} & U_q^{(j)}V^-(r_jx\lambda), \\ (= V_x^-(\lambda)) & & \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \xrightarrow{S^*_{r_jx}} \bigl\{e_j^k b \mid b \in \mathcal{B}^-(r_jx\lambda),\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\bigr\} \setminus \bigl\{\mathbf{0}\bigr\}.$$

From this, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) &= (S^*_{r_jx})^{-1}\bigl\{e_j^k b \mid b \in \mathcal{B}^-(r_jx\lambda),\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\bigr\} \setminus \bigl\{\mathbf{0}\bigr\} \\ &= \bigl\{e_j^k (S^*_{r_jx})^{-1}(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}^-(r_jx\lambda),\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\bigr\} \setminus \bigl\{\mathbf{0}\bigr\} \\ &= \bigl\{e_j^k b \mid b \in \mathcal{B}^-_{r_jx}(\lambda),\, k \geq 0\bigr\} \setminus \bigl\{\mathbf{0}\bigr\} \quad \text{by (4.1.7).} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 5.1.2. *Let $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$. For every $b \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$, we have $f_j^{\max} b := f_j^{\varphi_j(b)} b \in \mathcal{B}^-_{r_jx}(\lambda)$, where $\varphi_j(b) := \max\bigl\{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid f_j^k b \neq \mathbf{0}\bigr\}$.*

Proof. If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, x\lambda\rangle \geq 0$, then the assertion follows from Proposition 5.1.1 and Remark 4.1.1. Assume that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, x\lambda\rangle < 0$. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$. We see from Remark 4.1.1 that $f_j^{\max} b \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$. Also, it follows from Proposition 5.1.1 that $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}^-_{r_jx}(\lambda)$. Combining these, we obtain $f_j^{\max} b \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}^-_{r_jx}(\lambda)$, as desired. □

5.2 Fundamental properties of $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$, part 2.

Now we recall some results in [Kas6] and [BN]. We define a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-subalgebra U'_q of U_q by

$$U'_q := \langle E_j,\, F_j,\, q^h \mid j \in I_{\mathrm{af}},\, h \in d^{-1}(\textstyle\bigoplus_{j\in I_{\mathrm{af}}} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_j^\vee)\rangle \subset U_q,$$

which can be thought of as the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathrm{af}})$ associated with the derived subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}'_{\mathrm{af}} := [\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}},\, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}]$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$. We know from [Kas6, p. 142] that for each $i \in I$, there exists a U'_q-module automorphism $z_i : V(\varpi_i) \to V(\varpi_i)$ that maps v_{ϖ_i} to $v^{[1]}_{\varpi_i}$, where for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $u^{[k]}_{\varpi_i}$ the (unique) element of weight $\varpi_i + k\delta$ in $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$, and set $v^{[k]}_{\varpi_i} :=$

$G(u_{\varpi_i}^{[k]})$ (see [Kas6, Proposition 5.8]); note that z_i commutes with the Kashiwara operators e_j, f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, on $V(\varpi_i)$. Moreover, we see from [Kas6, Propositions 5.12 and 5.15] that z_i preserves the crystal lattice $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)$ of $V(\varpi_i)$, and hence induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear automorphism $z_i : \mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)$. Because z_i commutes with the Kashiwara operators e_j, f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, on $\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)$, and because $z_i(u_{\varpi_i}) = u_{\varpi_i}^{[1]} \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$, it follows immediately from [Kas6, Proposition 5.12] that z_i preserves the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\varpi_i) \subset \mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)$ of $V(\varpi_i)$ (see also [Kas6, Theorem 5.17]).

Recall that $\lambda \in P^+$ is of the form $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i$, with $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \in I$. We fix an arbitrary total ordering on I, and then set $\widetilde{V}(\lambda) := \bigotimes_{i\in I} V(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$. We can easily show (see also [BN, the comment preceding Eq. (4.8)]) that $\widetilde{v}_\lambda := \bigotimes_{i\in I} v_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i} \in \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ is an extremal weight vector of weight λ. By [BN, Eq. (4.8) and Corollary 4.15], there exists a U_q-module embedding

$$\Phi_\lambda : V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}(\lambda) = \bigotimes_{i\in I} V(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i} \tag{5.2.1}$$

that maps v_λ to $\widetilde{v}_\lambda := \bigotimes_{i\in I} v_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i}$.

Remark 5.2.1. We can show by induction on $\ell(x)$ that for every $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$,

$$S_x^{\mathrm{norm}}\widetilde{v}_\lambda = S_x^{\mathrm{norm}}\bigl(\bigotimes_{i\in I} v_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i}\bigr) \in \mathbb{Q}(q_s)\Bigl(\bigotimes_{i\in I}(S_x^{\mathrm{norm}}v_{\varpi_i})^{\otimes m_i}\Bigr);$$

cf. [AK, Lemma 1.6 (1)]. Therefore, under the U_q-module embedding $\Phi_\lambda : V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ in (5.2.1), $V_x^-(\lambda) \subset V(\lambda)$ for $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$ is mapped as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_x^-(\lambda) = U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda &\overset{\Phi_\lambda}{\hookrightarrow} \\ U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}}\widetilde{v}_\lambda = U_q^-\Bigl(\bigotimes_{i\in I}(S_x^{\mathrm{norm}}v_{\varpi_i})^{\otimes m_i}\Bigr) &\subset \bigotimes_{i\in I}(\underbrace{U_q^- S_x^{\mathrm{norm}}v_{\varpi_i}}_{=V_x^-(\varpi_i)})^{\otimes m_i}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.2}$$

Recall that $\widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ has the crystal basis $(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda), \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda))$, where

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) := \bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda) := \bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}.$$

We see from [BN, page 369, the 2nd line from below] that $\Phi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}(\lambda)) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$, and hence Φ_λ induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear embedding of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ into $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$, which we denote by $\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}$. Note that we have the following commutative diagram for all $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle e_j, f_j}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle e_j, f_j} \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda). \end{array}$$

For each $i \in I$ and $1 \leq l \leq m_i$, we define $z_{i,l}$ to be the U_q'-module automorphism of $\widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ which acts as z_i only on the l-th factor of $V(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ in $\widetilde{V}(\lambda)$, and as the identity map

on the other factors of $\widetilde{V}(\lambda)$; notice that $z_{i,l}$ commutes with the Kashiwara operators e_j, f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, on $\widetilde{V}(\lambda)$. Since $z_{i,l}$ preserves $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$ by the definition above, we deduce that $z_{i,l}$ induces a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear automorphism $z_{i,l} : \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$, which commutes with the Kashiwara operators e_j, f_j, $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$, on $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$. Also, notice that the $z_{i,l}$'s, $i \in I$, $1 \le l \le m_i$, commute with each other. For $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, we set

$$s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1}) := \prod_{i\in I} s_{\rho^{(i)}}(z_{i,1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{i,m_i}^{-1}) \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)). \tag{5.2.3}$$

Here, for a partition $\rho = (\rho_1 \ge \cdots \ge \rho_{m-1} \ge 0)$ of length less than $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$, $s_\rho(x) = s_\rho(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ denotes the Schur polynomial in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ corresponding to the partition ρ, that is, the character of the finite-dimensional, irreducible, polynomial representation of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ whose highest weight corresponds to ρ (see [F, §8.2]); note that for each $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^m$, the coefficient c_ν of $x^\nu = x_1^{\nu_1}\cdots x_m^{\nu_m}$ in $s_\rho(x)$ is equal to the dimension of the ν-weight space, and in particular, $c_{\nu_\rho} = 1$ for $\nu_\rho := (\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{m-1}, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^m$, which is the highest weight. In particular, we have

$$s_\rho(x) = x^{\nu_\rho} + \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^m,\, \nu\neq\nu_\rho} c_\nu x^\nu. \tag{5.2.4}$$

By [BN, Proposition 4.13], together with the fact that "$\mathrm{sgn}(\mathbf{c}, p) = 1$" shown on page 375 of [BN], the image of the crystal basis $\mathcal{B}(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ under the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear embedding $\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0} : \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$ is identical to

$$\bigl\{ s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})b \mid \mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda),\, b \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(\lambda)\bigr\} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda), \tag{5.2.5}$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(\lambda)$ denotes the connected component of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda)$ containing $\widetilde{u}_\lambda := \bigotimes_{i\in I} u_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i}$, and

$$\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})\widetilde{u}_\lambda \quad \text{for each } \mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda); \tag{5.2.6}$$

recall from §3.5 that $u^{\mathbf{c}_0} = b(\mathbf{c}_0) \otimes \tau_\lambda \otimes u_{-\infty}$ is an extremal element of weight $\lambda - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$ contained in $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. For later use, we rewrite the right-hand side $s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})\widetilde{u}_\lambda$ of (5.2.6) as follows. We set

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^\lambda := \bigl\{ \boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^{(i)})_{i\in I} = (\nu_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \nu_{m_i}^{(i)})_{i\in I} \mid \nu^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{m_i},\, i \in I\bigr\},$$

and then

$$\widetilde{u}_\lambda^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}]} := \bigotimes_{i\in I}(u_{\varpi_i}^{[-\nu_1^{(i)}]} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{\varpi_i}^{[-\nu_{m_i}^{(i)}]}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda) \quad \text{for } \boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \nu_{m_i}^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^\lambda.$$

Also, if $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, with $\rho^{(i)} = (\rho_1^{(i)} \ge \cdots \ge \rho_{m_i-1}^{(i)} \ge 0)$ for $i \in I$, then we set $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0} := (\nu_{\rho^{(i)}})_{i\in I} = (\rho_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \rho_{m_i-1}^{(i)}, 0)_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^\lambda$. Since z_i maps $u_{\varpi_i} \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ to $u_{\varpi_i}^{[1]} \in \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)$ for each $i \in I$, we deduce from (5.2.4) that

$$s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})\widetilde{u}_\lambda = \widetilde{u}_\lambda^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0}]} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^\lambda,\, \boldsymbol{\nu}\neq\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\widetilde{u}_\lambda^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}]}, \tag{5.2.7}$$

where $c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \prod_{i\in I} c_{\nu^{(i)}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ if $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^\lambda$.

Proposition 5.2.2. *Let $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, and $x, y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Then,*

$$S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \iff y \succeq x. \tag{5.2.8}$$

In order to show the proposition above, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 5.2.3. *Let $x, y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. If $y \succeq x$, then $\mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $x \xrightarrow{\beta} y$ in SiB^J for some $\beta \in \Delta_{\mathrm{af}}^+$. Write $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ in the form $x = wz_\xi t_\xi$, with $w \in W^J$ and $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ (see (2.2.5)). By Remark 2.3.3, β is either of the following forms: $\beta = \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta^+$, or $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ for some $-\alpha \in \Delta^+$; in both cases, we have $w^{-1}\alpha \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_J^+$, and hence $\langle \beta^\vee,\, x\lambda\rangle = \langle \alpha^\vee,\, wz_\xi t_\xi \lambda\rangle = \langle w^{-1}\alpha^\vee,\, \lambda\rangle > 0$. Thus we obtain $\langle \beta^\vee,\, y\lambda\rangle = \langle \beta^\vee,\, r_\beta x\lambda\rangle < 0$. Also, by (4.1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda) &= S_{y^{-1}}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(y\lambda) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{y\lambda} \otimes u_{-\infty})\big),\\
\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) = \mathcal{B}_{r_\beta y}^-(\lambda) &= S_{(r_\beta y)^{-1}}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(r_\beta y\lambda) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{r_\beta y\lambda} \otimes u_{-\infty})\big)\\
&= S_{y^{-1}}^* S_{r_\beta}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(r_\beta y\lambda) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{r_\beta y\lambda} \otimes u_{-\infty})\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to show that $\mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda) \supset \mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda)$, it suffices to show that

$$S_{r_\beta}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(r_\beta\mu) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{r_\beta\mu} \otimes u_{-\infty})\big) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mu) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_\mu \otimes u_{-\infty}), \tag{5.2.9}$$

where we set $\mu := r_\beta y\lambda$. The set on the right-hand side of the inclusion $\subset$ in (5.2.9) is the crystal basis of $V^-(\mu) = U_q^- v_\mu$ (see (4.1.5)), that is,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mu) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_\mu \otimes u_{-\infty}) = \big\{b \in \mathcal{B}(\mu) \mid G(b) \in V^-(\mu)\big\}. \tag{5.2.10}$$

The set on the left-hand side of the inclusion $\subset$ in (5.2.9) is the crystal basis of $V_{r_\beta}^-(\mu) = U_q^- S_{r_\beta}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\mu$ by (4.1.7), that is,

$$S_{r_\beta}^*\big(\mathcal{B}(r_\beta\mu) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\infty) \otimes \tau_{r_\beta\mu} \otimes u_{-\infty})\big) = \big\{b \in \mathcal{B}(\mu) \mid G(b) \in V_{r_\beta}^-(\mu)\big\}. \tag{5.2.11}$$

Since $\langle \beta^\vee,\, \mu\rangle = -\langle \beta^\vee,\, y\lambda\rangle > 0$ as shown above, it follows from [Kas7, Proposition 2.8] that $S_{r_\beta}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\mu \in V^-(\mu) = U_q^- v_\mu$, which implies that $V^-(\mu) \supset V_{r_\beta}^-(\mu)$. Combining this containment with (5.2.10) and (5.2.11), we conclude (5.2.9). This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 5.2.4. *For each $y \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, we have $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda)$.*

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on $\ell(y)$. If $\ell(y) = 0$, then $y = e$, and hence the assertion follows immediately from Proposition 3.5.1, (4.1.7), and the definition of $u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$. Assume now that $\ell(y) > 0$, and take $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $\ell(r_j y) = \ell(y) - 1$; by our induction hypothesis, we have $S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_{r_j y}^-(\lambda)$. If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, r_j y\lambda\rangle \geq 0$, then $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = S_{r_j} S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = f_j^{\max} S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0})$ since $u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$ is an extremal element. Therefore, we deduce $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda)$ by Corollary 5.1.2, since $S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_{r_j y}^-(\lambda)$ by our induction hypothesis. If $n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, r_j y\lambda\rangle \leq 0$, then $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = S_{r_j} S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = e_j^{-n} S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0})$. Since $\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, y\lambda\rangle \geq 0$, and $S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_{r_j y}^-(\lambda)$ by our induction hypothesis, it follows from Proposition 5.1.1 that $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda)$. This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 5.2.2. The "if" part follows immediately from Lemmas 5.2.3 and 5.2.4. Indeed, assume that $y \succeq x$. Then, $\mathcal{B}^-_y(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$ by Lemma 5.2.3. Therefore, by Lemma 5.2.4, $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_y(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$. This proves the "if" part.

Now, we prove the "only if" part.

Claim 1. *Let $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, and $\xi, \zeta \in Q^{\vee, J\text{-ad}}$. If $S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\lambda)$, then $z_\xi t_\xi \succeq z_\zeta t_\zeta$.*

Proof of Claim 1. By [INS, Proposition 6.2.2], it suffices to show that $[\xi - \zeta] \in Q^{\vee +}_{I\setminus J}$, where $[\,\cdot\,] : Q^\vee = Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \oplus Q^\vee_J \twoheadrightarrow Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ is the projection (see (2.1.3)). By (5.2.6) and (5.2.7), we have

$$\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0})) = S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})\widetilde{u}_\lambda) = \underbrace{S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0}]}_\lambda)}_{\in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda)} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^\lambda_{\geq 0}, \boldsymbol{\nu} \neq \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0}} c_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \underbrace{S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}]}_\lambda)}_{\in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda)}; \tag{5.2.12}$$

notice that for $\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\nu}' \in \mathbb{Z}^\lambda_{\geq 0}$, $S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}]}_\lambda) = S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}']}_\lambda)$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \boldsymbol{\nu}'$, and hence that the elements $S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}]}_\lambda) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda)$, $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^\lambda_{\geq 0}$, are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. Also, from [AK, Lemma 1.6 (1)], we deduce that for every $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu^{(i)}_1, \dots, \nu^{(i)}_{m_i})_{i\in I} \in \mathbb{Z}^\lambda_{\geq 0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}]}_\lambda) &= S_{z_\xi t_\xi}\Big(\textstyle\bigotimes_{i\in I}(u^{[-\nu^{(i)}_1]}_{\varpi_i} \otimes \cdots \otimes u^{[-\nu^{(i)}_{m_i}]}_{\varpi_i})\Big) \\ &= \textstyle\bigotimes_{i\in I}\big(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{[-\nu^{(i)}_1]}_{\varpi_i}) \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{[-\nu^{(i)}_{m_i}]}_{\varpi_i})\big) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.13}$$

Since the global basis element $G(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0})) \in \mathcal{L}(\lambda) \subset V(\lambda)$ is contained in $V^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\lambda) = U^-_q S^{\mathrm{norm}}_{z_\zeta t_\zeta} v_\lambda$ by the assumption, and since $\Phi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}(\lambda)) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$ as mentioned above, it follows from Remark 5.2.1 that

$$\Phi_\lambda\big(G(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}))\big) \in \left(\bigotimes_{i\in I} V^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}\right) \cap \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda).$$

Because $\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0} : \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$ is induced by $\Phi_\lambda : V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$, and because $V^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)$ has the global basis $\big\{G(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)\big\}$ for each $i \in I$, we see that

$$\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0})) \in \underbrace{\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}\right)}_{=:U} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda). \tag{5.2.14}$$

Here we recall that $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda) = \bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathcal{B}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$, and that $\bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$ is a subset of $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\lambda)$ generating the vector space U over $\mathbb{Q}$ in (5.2.14). Therefore, we deduce from (5.2.12) and (5.2.14) that

$$S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0}]}_\lambda) \in \bigotimes_{i\in I} \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}. \tag{5.2.15}$$

If $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}$, with $\rho^{(i)} = (\rho^{(i)}_1 \geq \cdots \geq \rho^{(i)}_{m_i-1} \geq 0)$ for $i \in I$, then it follows immediately from (5.2.13) that

$$S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(\widetilde{u}^{[\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\mathbf{c}_0}]}_\lambda) = \textstyle\bigotimes_{i\in I}\big(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{[-\rho^{(i)}_1]}_{\varpi_i}) \otimes \cdots \otimes S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{[-\rho^{(i)}_{m_i-1}]}_{\varpi_i}) \otimes \underbrace{S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u_{\varpi_i})}_{(*)}\big).$$

By combining this equality with (5.2.15), we find that for every $i \in I \setminus J$, the tensor factor $S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u_{\varpi_i})$ in the position (*) is contained in $\mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)$. Let $i \in I \setminus J$. Since the weights of elements in $\mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\varpi_i)$ are contained in $z_\zeta t_\zeta \varpi_i - Q^+_{\mathrm{af}}$, we conclude that $z_\xi t_\xi \varpi_i = \mathrm{wt}(S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u_{\varpi_i})) \in z_\zeta t_\zeta \varpi_i - Q^+_{\mathrm{af}}$; since z_ξ, $z_\zeta \in W_J$, and $i \in I \setminus J$, we have $z_\xi \varpi_i = z_\zeta \varpi_i = \varpi_i$. From these, we obtain

$$\varpi_i - \langle \xi, \varpi_i \rangle \delta = z_\xi t_\xi \varpi_i \in z_\zeta t_\zeta \varpi_i - Q^+_{\mathrm{af}} = \varpi_i - \langle \zeta, \varpi_i \rangle \delta - Q^+_{\mathrm{af}},$$

and hence $\langle \xi - \zeta, \varpi_i \rangle \delta \in Q^+_{\mathrm{af}}$. Hence it follows that $\langle \xi - \zeta, \varpi_i \rangle \geq 0$ for every $i \in I \setminus J$, which implies that $[\xi - \zeta] \in Q^{\vee +}_{I \setminus J}$. This proves the claim. ∎

Claim 2. *Let* $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, *and* $y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, $\zeta \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$. *If* $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\lambda)$, *then* $y \succeq z_\zeta t_\zeta$.

Proof of Claim 2. Write $y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ in the form $y = w z_\xi t_\xi$, with $w \in W^J$ and $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$ (see (2.2.5)). We prove the claim by induction on $\ell(w)$. If $\ell(w) = 0$, then $w = e$, and hence the claim follows immediately from Claim 1. Assume that $\ell(w) > 0$, and take $j \in I$ such that $\ell(r_j w) = \ell(w) - 1$; in this case, we have $-w^{-1}\alpha_j \in \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta^+_J$ (see [LNS^{3}1, Proposition 5.10] for example), which implies that $n := \langle \alpha_j^\vee, y\lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_j^\vee, w\lambda \rangle < 0$. Therefore, we obtain $r_j y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $y \succ r_j y$ by Lemma 2.3.5. Also, since $\mathrm{wt}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = \lambda - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$, we have

$$S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = S_{r_j} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = e_j^{-n} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}).$$

Here, since $j \in I$, we have $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, z_\zeta t_\zeta \lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_j^\vee, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$. Hence it follows from Proposition 5.1.1 that the set $\mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is stable under the action of the Kashiwara operator e_j. Because $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\lambda)$ by the assumption, we deduce that $S_{r_j y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = e_j^{-n} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_{z_\zeta t_\zeta}(\lambda)$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, we obtain $r_j y \succeq z_\zeta t_\zeta$. Since $y \succ r_j y$ as seen above, we conclude that $y \succ r_j y \succeq z_\zeta t_\zeta$, as desired. ∎

Now, let x, $y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, and assume that $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$. By [AK, Lemma 1.4], there exist $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that

(1) $\langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x \lambda \rangle > 0$ for all $1 \leq m \leq p$;

(2) $r_{j_p} r_{j_{p-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x \lambda \in \lambda + \mathbb{Z}\delta$.

By Lemma 2.3.5, together with condition (1), we see that $r_{j_m} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ for all $0 \leq m \leq p$. From this, we deduce by condition (2) that $r_{j_p} r_{j_{p-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x = z_\zeta t_\zeta$ for some $\zeta \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$. We show by induction on the length p of the sequence above that $y \succeq x$. If $p = 0$, then $x = z_\zeta t_\zeta$, and hence the assertion follows immediately from Claim 1. Assume that $p > 0$.

Case 1. Assume that $\langle \alpha_{j_1}^\vee, y\lambda \rangle > 0$; note that $r_{j_1} y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ by Lemma 2.3.5. Since $u^{\mathbf{c}_0} \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is an extremal element of weight $\lambda - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$, we have

$$S_{r_{j_1} y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = S_{r_{j_1}} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = f_{j_1}^{\max} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}).$$

Since $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$ by the assumption, it follows from Corollary 5.1.2 that $S_{r_{j_1}y}(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = f_{j_1}^{\max} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_{r_{j_1}x}^-(\lambda)$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis (applied to $r_{j_1}x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$), we obtain $r_{j_1}y \succeq r_{j_1}x$. Because $\langle \alpha_{j_1}^\vee, r_{j_1}x\lambda \rangle < 0$ by condition (1), and because $\langle \alpha_{j_1}^\vee, r_{j_1}y\lambda \rangle < 0$ by our assumption above, we conclude from Lemma 2.3.6 (3) that $y \succeq x$.

Case 2. Assume that $\langle \alpha_{j_1}^\vee, y\lambda \rangle \leq 0$. Since $u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$ is an extremal element of weight $\lambda - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta$, it follows that $f_{j_1} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = \mathbf{0}$, and hence $f_{j_1}^{\max} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = f_{j_1}^0 S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0})$. Since $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$ by the assumption, we deduce from Corollary 5.1.2 that $S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = f_{j_1}^{\max} S_y(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) \in \mathcal{B}_{r_{j_1}x}^-(\lambda)$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis (applied to $r_{j_1}x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$), we obtain $y \succeq r_{j_1}x$. Since $\langle \alpha_{j_1}^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ by condition (1), we have $r_{j_1}x \succ x$ by Lemma 2.3.5. Hence we conclude that $y \succeq r_{j_1}x \succ x$, as desired.

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 5.2.5. *Let $x, y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Then, $\mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$ if and only if $y \succeq x$.*

Proof. The "if" part is already proved in Lemma 5.2.3. Let us prove the "only if" part. Since $S_y(u_\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}_y^-(\lambda)$ by Lemma 5.2.4, we have $S_y(u_\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}_x^-(\lambda)$. Therefore, by applying Proposition 5.2.2 to $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i \in I}$ with $\rho^{(i)} = \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$, we obtain $y \succeq x$ (note that in this case, $b(\mathbf{c}_0) = u_\infty$ and $u^{\mathbf{c}_0} = u_\lambda$). This proves the corollary. □

5.3 Fundamental properties of $\mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda)$.

Lemma 5.3.1 (cf. Remark 4.1.1). *Let $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. The set $\mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ (resp., $\mathbb{B}_{x\succeq}^{\infty}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$) is stable under the action of the root operator f_j (resp., e_j) for all $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$.*

Proof. We give a proof only for $\mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda)$; the proof for $\mathbb{B}_{x\succeq}^{\infty}(\lambda)$ is similar. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda)$, i.e., $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$, and let $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ be such that $f_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$. If $\kappa(f_j\eta) = \kappa(\eta)$, then there is nothing to prove. Now, assume that $\kappa(f_j\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta)$. Then we deduce from the comment following (2.4.4) that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$, and hence $r_j\kappa(\eta) \succ \kappa(\eta)$ by Lemma 2.3.5. Since $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$ by our assumption, it follows that $\kappa(f_j\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta) \succ \kappa(\eta) \succeq x$, which implies that $f_j\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda)$. This proves the lemma. □

Proposition 5.3.2 (cf. Proposition 5.1.1). *Let $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$.*

(1) *If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ (note that $r_jx \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ by Lemma 2.3.5), then*

$$\mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda) = \left\{ e_j^k \eta \mid \eta \in \mathbb{B}_{\succeq r_jx}^{\infty}(\lambda),\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \quad (\supset \mathbb{B}_{\succeq r_jx}^{\infty}(\lambda)). \tag{5.3.1}$$

(2) *The set $\mathbb{B}_{\succeq x}^{\infty}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is stable under the action of the root operator e_j for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$.*

Proof. (1) First we prove the inclusion $\subset$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$; note that $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$ by the definition. Assume that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle \leq 0$. Since $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ by the assumption, we see by Lemma 2.3.6 (1) that $\kappa(\eta) \succeq r_j x$, and hence $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq r_j x}(\lambda)$. Thus, $\eta = e_j^0 \eta$ is contained in the set on the right-hand side of (5.3.1). Assume now that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$. It follows from Lemma 2.4.5 that $\kappa(f_j^{\max}\eta) = r_j \kappa(\eta)$. Also, because $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle > 0$ and $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ by the assumption, we deduce from Lemma 2.3.6 (3), together with our assumption $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$, that $\kappa(f_j^{\max}\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta) \succeq r_j x$, which implies that $f_j^{\max}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq r_j x}(\lambda)$. From this, we conclude that η is contained in the set on the right-hand side of (5.3.1). This proves the inclusion $\subset$.

Next we prove the opposite inclusion $\supset$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq r_j x}(\lambda)$, and assume that $e_j^k \eta \neq \mathbf{0}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; note that $\kappa(\eta) \succeq r_j x$, and that $\kappa(e_j^k \eta)$ is equal either to $\kappa(\eta)$ or to $r_j\kappa(\eta)$. If $\kappa(e_j^k\eta) = \kappa(\eta)$, then we have $\kappa(e_j^k\eta) = \kappa(\eta) \succeq r_j x$. Since $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ by the assumption, it follows from Lemma 2.3.5 that $r_j x \succ x$. Combining these, we obtain $\kappa(e_j^k\eta) \succeq x$, which implies that $e_j^k\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. Assume that $\kappa(e_j^k\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta)$. Then we see from the definition of the root operator e_j (see the comment following (2.4.3)) that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle < 0$. Recall that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, r_j x\lambda \rangle < 0$ by the assumption. Since $\kappa(\eta) \succeq r_j x$ by our assumption, we deduce from Lemma 2.3.6 (3) that $\kappa(e_j^k\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$, which implies that $e_j^k\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. This proves part (1).

(2) The assertion for $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ follows immediately from (5.3.1). Let $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ be such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle = 0$, and let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$ be such that $e_j\eta \neq \mathbf{0}$; note that $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$ by our assumption, and that $\kappa(e_j\eta)$ is equal either to $\kappa(\eta)$ or to $r_j\kappa(\eta)$. If $\kappa(e_j\eta) = \kappa(\eta)$, then it is obvious that $e_j\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. If $\kappa(e_j\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta)$, then $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta)\lambda \rangle < 0$ by the same argument as in the proof of part (1). Since $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle = 0$, and $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$ by our assumption, it follows from Lemma 2.3.6 (2) that $\kappa(e_j\eta) = r_j\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$, which implies that $e_j\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Corollary 5.3.3 (cf. Corollary 5.1.2)**.** *Let $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ be such that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle \neq 0$ (note that $r_j x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ by Lemma 2.3.5). For every $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$, we have $f_j^{\max}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq r_j x}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. If $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle > 0$, then the assertion follows immediately from (5.3.1) and Lemma 5.3.1. Assume now that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, x\lambda \rangle < 0$. Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. We see from Lemma 5.3.1 that $f_j^{\max}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. Also, it follows from Proposition 5.3.2 (1) that $\mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq r_j x}(\lambda)$. Combining these, we obtain $f_j^{\max}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq r_j x}(\lambda)$, as desired. □

Proposition 5.3.4 (cf. Corollary 5.2.5)**.** *Let $x, y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Then, $y \succeq x$ if and only if $\mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq y}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. The "only if" part is obvious from the definitions. Let us prove the "if" part. It is obvious from the definition that $(y\,;\,0,\,1) \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}(\lambda)$ is contained in $\mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq y}(\lambda)$. Since $\mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq y}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$ by the assumption, we have $(y\,;\,0,\,1) \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$, and hence $y \succeq x$. This proves the proposition. □

5.4 Proof of Theorem 4.2.1.

We need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 5.4.1. *For each $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ and $x \in W_{\mathrm{af}}$, there exist $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(i) $\langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$ *for all* $1 \leq m \leq p$;

(ii) $f_{j_p}^{\max} f_{j_{p-1}}^{\max} \cdots f_{j_2}^{\max} f_{j_1}^{\max} \eta = S_{z_\xi t_\xi} \eta^C$ *for some* $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$ *and* $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$.

Proof. First, we see from [AK, Lemma 1.4] that there exist $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_a \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that

(1a) $\langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x\lambda \rangle \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq m \leq a$;

(2a) $r_{j_a} r_{j_{a-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x\lambda \in \lambda + \mathbb{Z}\delta$.

We deduce from condition (2a) that $r_{j_a} r_{j_{a-1}} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x = z t_\zeta$ for some $z \in W_J$ and $\zeta \in Q^\vee$. Let $w_0 = r_{j_b} r_{j_{b-1}} \cdots r_{j_{a+2}} r_{j_{a+1}}$ be a reduced expression of the longest element $w_0 \in W$. Then, there hold the following:

(1b) for all $a+1 \leq m \leq b$,

$$\langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{a+1}} \underbrace{r_{j_a} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x}_{= z t_\zeta} \lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{a+1}} \lambda \rangle \geq 0;$$

(2b) $\underbrace{r_{j_b} r_{j_{b-1}} \cdots r_{j_{a+1}}}_{= w_0} \underbrace{r_{j_a} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x}_{= z t_\zeta} = w_0 z t_\zeta$;

(3b) the element

$$\eta' := \underbrace{f_{j_b}^{\max} f_{j_{b-1}}^{\max} \cdots f_{j_{a+1}}^{\max}}_{\text{corresponds to } w_0} \underbrace{f_{j_a}^{\max} \cdots f_{j_2}^{\max} f_{j_1}^{\max} \eta}_{\in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)}$$

is a lowest weight element with respect to I, i.e., $f_j \eta' = \mathbf{0}$ for all $j \in I$ (this follows from [Kas5, Corollarie 9.1.4 (2)] since $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cong \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is a regular crystal; see Remark 3.3.1).

It follows from Lemma 2.4.5, together with condition (3b), that $\langle \alpha_j^\vee, \kappa(\eta')\lambda \rangle \leq 0$ for all $j \in I$, which implies that $\kappa(\eta')\lambda \equiv w_0\lambda \mod \mathbb{R}\delta$ since $W\lambda \cap (-P^+) = \{w_0\lambda\}$. We deduce from (the dual version of) [NS3, Lemma 4.3.2] that for the element η' in (3b), there exist $j_{b+1}, j_{b+2}, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1c) for all $b+1 \leq m \leq p$,

$$\langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{b+2}} r_{j_{b+1}} w_0\lambda \rangle = \langle \alpha_{j_m}^\vee, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{b+2}} r_{j_{b+1}} \kappa(\eta')\lambda \rangle > 0;$$

(2c) $\eta'' := f_{j_p}^{\max} f_{j_{n-1}}^{\max} \cdots f_{j_{b+2}}^{\max} f_{j_{b+1}}^{\max} \eta'$ is an element of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ such that $\overline{\eta}''(t) \equiv t\lambda \mod \mathbb{R}\delta$ for all $t \in [0,1]$.

We see by Remark 3.5.2 (2) that $\eta'' = S_{z_\xi t_\xi}\eta^C$ for some $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ and $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$.

Concatenating the three sequences of elements in I_{af} above, we obtain the sequence

$$\underbrace{j_1,\, j_2,\, \ldots,\, j_a}_{\text{satisfy (1a), (2a)}},\ \underbrace{j_{a+1},\, j_{a+2},\, \ldots,\, j_b}_{\text{satisfy (1b), (2b), (3b)}},\ \underbrace{j_{b+1},\, j_{b+2},\, \ldots,\, j_p}_{\text{satisfy (1c), (2c)}}.$$

We show that this sequence indeed satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). We see from the definition of η' in condition (3b) and condition (2c) that the sequence above satisfies condition (ii). For $1 \le m \le b$, it follows immediately from (1a) and (1b) that the sequence above satisfies condition (i). Also, for $b+1 \le m \le p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_m},\, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{b+1}} r_{j_b} \cdots r_{j_2} r_{j_1} x\lambda \rangle \\
&\quad = \langle \alpha^\vee_{j_m},\, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{b+1}} w_0 z t_\zeta \lambda \rangle \quad \text{by condition (2b)} \\
&\quad = \langle \alpha^\vee_{j_m},\, r_{j_{m-1}} \cdots r_{j_{b+1}} w_0 \lambda \rangle > 0 \quad \text{by (1c).}
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 5.4.2. *Let $b \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$, and set $\eta := \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. If $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfies $b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$, then $\kappa(\eta) \succeq x$, and hence $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$.*

Proof. We fix an arbitrary $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$. Take $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.4.1 for $\eta = \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ and $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}} \subset W_{\mathrm{af}}$. We prove the assertion by induction on the length p of this sequence. If $p = 0$, then $\eta = S_{z_\xi t_\xi}\eta^C$ for some $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ and $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$; note that in this case, $\kappa(\eta) = z_\xi t_\xi$ by Remark 3.5.2 (2). By the assumption, we have $S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\Theta(C)}) = \Psi_\lambda^{-1}(\eta) = b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$. Therefore, we conclude from Proposition 5.2.2 that $\kappa(\eta) = z_\xi t_\xi \succeq x$, and hence $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$, as desired. Now, assume that $p > 0$.

Case 1. Assume that $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda \rangle > 0$; note that $r_{j_1} x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ by Lemma 2.3.5, and that $f^{\max}_{j_1} b \in \mathcal{B}^-_{r_{j_1} x}(\lambda)$ by Corollary 5.1.2. Observe that the sequence $j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.4.1 for $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta = \Psi_\lambda(f^{\max}_{j_1} b)$ and $r_{j_1} x$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, we obtain $\kappa(f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta) \succeq r_{j_1} x$, and hence $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq r_{j_1} x}(\lambda)$. Since $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda \rangle > 0$ by our assumption, we conclude from (5.3.1) that $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$.

Case 2. Assume that $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda \rangle = 0$; remark that $f^{\max}_{j_1} b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$ by Remark 4.1.1. Observe that the sequence $j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.4.1 for $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta = \Psi_\lambda(f^{\max}_{j_1} b)$ and x; notice that $r_{j_1} x\lambda = x\lambda$ since $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda \rangle = 0$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, we obtain $\kappa(f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta) \succeq x$, and hence $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$. Since $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda \rangle = 0$ by our assumption, it follows from Proposition 5.3.2 (2) that $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq x}(\lambda)$.

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 5.4.3. *Let $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, and set $b := \Psi_\lambda^{-1}(\eta) \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. If $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfies $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\preceq x}(\lambda)$, then $b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$.*

Proof. We fix an arbitrary $x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ such that $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\preceq x}(\lambda)$. Take $j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.4.1 for η and x. We prove the assertion by induction on the length p of this sequence. If $p = 0$, then $\eta = S_{z_\xi t_\xi}\eta^C$ for some $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$ and $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$; note that in this case, $\kappa(\eta) = z_\xi t_\xi$ by Remark 3.5.2 (2). Since $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\preceq x}(\lambda)$ by the assumption, we have $z_\xi t_\xi \succeq x$. Therefore, we conclude from Proposition 5.2.2 that $b = \Psi_\lambda^{-1}(\eta) = S_{z_\xi t_\xi}(u^{\Theta(C)}) \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$. Now, assume that $p > 0$.

Case 1. If $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda\rangle > 0$, then $r_{j_1}x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ by Lemma 2.3.5, and $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\preceq r_{j_1}x}(\lambda)$ by Corollary 5.3.3. Observe that the sequence $j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.4.1 for $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta$ and $r_{j_1}x$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, we obtain $f^{\max}_{j_1}b \in \mathcal{B}^-_{r_jx}(\lambda)$. Since $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda\rangle > 0$ by our assumption, it follows from (5.1.1) that $b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$.

Case 2. Assume that $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda\rangle = 0$. By Lemma 5.3.1, we have $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\preceq x}(\lambda)$. Observe that the sequence $j_2, \ldots, j_p \in I_{\mathrm{af}}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) in Lemma 5.4.1 for $f^{\max}_{j_1}\eta$ and x; notice that $r_{j_1}x\lambda = x\lambda$ since $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda\rangle = 0$. Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, we obtain $f^{\max}_{j_1}b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$. Since $\langle \alpha^\vee_{j_1},\, x\lambda\rangle = 0$ by our assumption, it follows from Proposition 5.1.1 that $b \in \mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)$.

This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2.1. As mentioned at the end of §4.2, our remaining task is to prove the equality

$$\Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{B}^-_x(\lambda)) = \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\preceq x}(\lambda) \qquad \text{for all } x \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}. \tag{5.4.1}$$

The inclusion $\subset$ in (5.4.1) follows immediately from Lemma 5.4.2. Also, the opposite inclusion $\supset$ in (5.4.1) follows immediately from Lemma 5.4.3. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.1. □

6 Graded characters.

In this section, we fix $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \bigl\{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha^\vee_i,\, \lambda\rangle = 0\bigr\}$.

6.1 Graded character formula for $V^-_e(\lambda)$.

The weights of the Demazure submodule $V^-_e(\lambda) = U^-_q v_\lambda$ (corresponding to $x = e$, the identity element) are all contained in $\lambda - Q^+_{\mathrm{af}} \subset \lambda - Q_{\mathrm{af}}$, and hence every weight space of $V^-_e(\lambda)$ is finite-dimensional. Therefore, we can define the (ordinary) character $\mathrm{ch}\, V^-_e(\lambda)$ of $V^-_e(\lambda)$ to be

$$\mathrm{ch}\, V^-_e(\lambda) := \sum_{\beta\in Q_{\mathrm{af}}} \dim V^-_e(\lambda)_{\lambda-\beta}\, x^{\lambda-\beta}.$$

Here we recall that an element $\beta \in Q_{\mathrm{af}}$ can be written uniquely in the form: $\beta = \gamma + k\delta$ for $\gamma \in Q$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; if we set $x^{\delta} := q$, then $x^{\lambda-\beta} = x^{\lambda-\gamma}q^k$. Now we define the graded character $\operatorname{gch} V_e^-(\lambda)$ of $V_e^-(\lambda)$ to be

$$\operatorname{gch} V_e^-(\lambda) := \sum_{\gamma\in Q,\, k\in\mathbb{Z}} \dim V_e^-(\lambda)_{\lambda-\gamma+k\delta}\, x^{\lambda-\gamma}q^k,$$

which is obtained from the ordinary character $\operatorname{ch} V_e^-(\lambda)$ by replacing x^{δ} with q.

Theorem 6.1.1. *Keep the notation and setting above. The graded character* $\operatorname{gch} V_e^-(\lambda)$ *of* $V_e^-(\lambda)$ *can be expressed as*

$$\operatorname{gch} V_e^-(\lambda) = \left(\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^{-r})\right)^{-1} P_{\lambda}(x\,;\, q^{-1},\, 0),$$

where $P_{\lambda}(x\,;\, q,\, 0)$ *denotes the specialization at* $t = 0$ *of the symmetric Macdonald polynomial* $P_{\lambda}(x\,;\, q,\, t)$.

6.2 Degree function.

Let $\mathrm{cl} : \mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{\mathrm{af}} \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{\mathrm{af}})/\mathbb{R}\delta$ denote the canonical projection. For an element $\eta = (x_1,\, \ldots,\, x_s\,;\, a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots,\, a_s) \in \mathbb{B}^{\infty}_{\frac{2}{}}(\lambda)$, we define $\mathrm{cl}(\eta)$ to be the piecewise-linear, continuous map $\mathrm{cl}(\eta) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{\mathrm{af}}/\mathbb{R}\delta$ whose "direction vector" for the interval $[a_{u-1},\, a_u]$ is equal to $\mathrm{cl}(x_u\lambda)$ for each $1 \le u \le s$; that is,

$$(\mathrm{cl}(\eta))(t) := \sum_{p=1}^{u-1}(a_p - a_{p-1})\,\mathrm{cl}(x_p\lambda) + (t - a_{u-1})\,\mathrm{cl}(x_u\lambda) \qquad \text{for } t \in [a_{u-1},\, a_u],\ 1 \le u \le s. \tag{6.2.1}$$

Because the map $\overline{\eta} : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} P_{\mathrm{af}}$ defined by (2.4.1) is an LS path of shape λ, the map $\mathrm{cl}(\eta)$ above is a "projected (by cl)" LS path of shape λ, introduced in [NS1, (3.4)] and on page 117 of [NS2] (see also [LNS^{3}2, §2.2]). By [LNS^{3}2, Theorem 3.3], the crystal, denoted by $\mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ in [NS1] and [NS2], of "projected" LS paths of shape λ is identical to the crystal, denoted by $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, of all quantum Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths (QLS paths for short) of shape λ under the identification $\mathrm{cl}(W_{\mathrm{af}}\lambda) = W\,\mathrm{cl}(\lambda) \cong W^J$; for the definition of QLS paths of shape λ, see [LNS^{3}2, §3.2].

Remark 6.2.1. Define a surjective map $\mathrm{cl} : (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}} \twoheadrightarrow W^J$ by (see (2.2.5))

$$\mathrm{cl}(x) = w \quad \text{if } x = wz_{\xi}t_{\xi}, \text{ with } w \in W^J \text{ and } \xi \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}.$$

The quantum LS path of shape λ corresponding to the map $\mathrm{cl}(\eta)$ defined by (6.2.1) is given as:

$$(\mathrm{cl}(x_1),\, \ldots,\, \mathrm{cl}(x_s)\,;\, a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots,\, a_s),$$

where, for each $1 \le p < q \le s$ such that $\mathrm{cl}(x_p) = \cdots = \mathrm{cl}(x_q)$, we drop $\mathrm{cl}(x_p),\, \ldots,\, \mathrm{cl}(x_{q-1})$ and $a_p,\, \ldots,\, a_{q-1}$.

Thus we obtain a map $\mathrm{cl} : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. Recall that root operators on $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ are defined in exactly the same manner as those on $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ (see, e.g., [LNS^{3}2, §2.3]); from these definitions of root operators on $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ and $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, we see that the map $\mathrm{cl} : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \to \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ commutes with root operators. Because the crystal $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ is connected by [NS1, Proposition 3.23], the map cl above is surjective.

Lemma 6.2.2. *Let $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, and assume that $\psi = X\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e)$ for some monomial X in root operators. Then,*

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) = \left\{ X S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C \mid C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)),\, \zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \right\}; \tag{6.2.2}$$

for the definition of η^C, see Proposition 2.5.1 (1).

Proof. First we prove the inclusion $\supset$. Let $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$, and $\zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$. Since the map $\mathrm{cl} : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ commutes with root operators, and since $\mathrm{cl}(S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = \mathrm{cl}(\eta_e)$ (see Remark 3.5.2 (2)), we have

$$\mathrm{cl}(X S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C) = X\,\mathrm{cl}(S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = X\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e) = \psi,$$

which implies that $X S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)$.

Next we prove the opposite inclusion $\subset$. Write X as: $X = x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_p}$, where x_{j_q} is either e_{j_q} or f_{j_q} for each $1 \le q \le p$. We prove the inclusion $\subset$ by induction on p. Assume that $p = 0$, i.e., $\psi = \mathrm{cl}(\eta_e)$. If $\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)$, then we deduce from Remark 3.5.2 (2) that η is of the form:

$$\eta = (z_{\zeta_1} t_{\zeta_1},\, \ldots,\, z_{\zeta_s} t_{\zeta_s}\,;\, a_0,\, a_1,\, \ldots,\, a_s)$$

for some $s \ge 1$ and $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_s \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$, and hence that $\eta = S_{z_{\zeta_s} t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C$, with C the connected component containing η. Because

$$\langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \underbrace{\mathrm{wt}(S_{t_{\zeta_s}}\eta^C)}_{\in \lambda + \mathbb{Z}\delta} \rangle = \langle \alpha_j^\vee,\, \lambda \rangle = 0$$

for $j \in J$, we see that $S_{r_j} S_{t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C = S_{t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C$ for $j \in J$. Therefore, we have $S_{z_{\zeta_s} t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C = S_{z_{\zeta_s}} S_{t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C = S_{t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C$. Also, since $S_{t_\beta} \eta^C = \eta^C$ for $\beta \in Q^\vee_J$, it follows that

$$\eta = S_{z_{\zeta_s} t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C = S_{t_{\zeta_s}} \eta^C = S_{t_{[\zeta_s]}} S_{t_{\zeta_s - [\zeta_s]}} \eta^C = S_{t_{[\zeta_s]}} \eta^C \quad (\text{note that } \zeta_s - [\zeta_s] \in Q^\vee_J),$$

where $[\,\cdot\,] : Q^\vee = Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \oplus Q^\vee_J \twoheadrightarrow Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ denotes the projection (see (2.1.3)). Thus, we conclude that η is contained in the set $\left\{ S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C \mid C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)),\, \zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \right\}$.

Assume now that $p > 0$, and set $j := j_1$, $X' := x_{j_2} \cdots x_{j_p}$, $\psi' := X'\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e) \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. We define $y_j := e_j$ (resp., $y_j := f_j$) if $x_j = f_j$ (resp., $x_j = e_j$); note that $y_j \psi = \psi'$. Let $\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)$. Since the map $\mathrm{cl} : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ commutes with root operators, we have $\mathrm{cl}(y_j \eta) = y_j\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta) = y_j \psi = \psi'$, and hence $y_j \eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi')$. By our induction hypothesis, there exists $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$ and $\zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ such that $y_j \eta = X' S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C$. Therefore, we deduce that $\eta = x_j X' S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C = X S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C$. Thus we have proved the inclusion $\subset$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 6.2.3. *For each $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, there exists a unique $\eta_\psi \in \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ such that $\mathrm{cl}(\eta_\psi) = \psi$ and $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$.*

Proof. We write $\psi = X\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e)$ for some monomial X in root operators. Note that for $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$, $C = \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ holds if and only if $\eta^C = \eta_e$ (see Proposition 2.5.1 (1)). Therefore, by Lemma 6.2.2,

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) = \left\{ XS_{t_\zeta}\eta_e \mid \zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \right\}. \tag{6.2.3}$$

Let us write $\kappa(X\eta_e) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ as $\kappa(X\eta_e) = wz_\xi t_\xi$ for $w \in W^J$ and $\xi \in Q^{\vee,\,J\text{-ad}}$, and write $\Pi^J(t_{-\xi}) = z_{-\xi}t_{-\xi+\phi_J(-\xi)} \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ (see Lemma 2.2.2 (2)) as $\Pi^J(t_{-\xi}) = t_{-\xi}y$ for some $y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Since $S_{t_\beta}\eta_e = \eta_e$ for all $\beta \in Q^\vee_J$, we have $S_{t_{[-\xi]}}\eta_e = S_{t_{[-\xi]}}S_{t_{-\xi-[-\xi]}}\eta_e = S_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e$, where $[\,\cdot\,] : Q^\vee = Q^\vee_{I\setminus J} \oplus Q^\vee_J \twoheadrightarrow Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ is the projection (see (2.1.3)). Hence it follows from (6.2.3) that $XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e = XS_{t_{[-\xi]}}\eta_e$ is contained in $\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. We show that $\kappa(XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e) = w \in W^J$. Note that

$$S_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e = (\Pi^J(t_{-\xi})\,;\,0,\,1) = (z_{-\xi}t_{-\xi+\phi_J(-\xi)}\,;\,0,\,1) = (t_{-\xi}y\,;\,0,\,1) \tag{6.2.4}$$

by Remark 3.5.2 (2). Here we remark that $\eta_e = (e\,;\,0,\,1)$ and $S_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e$ are both of the form as in [INS, (7.1.1)]. Because $X\eta_e$ is of the form:

$$X\eta_e = (\ldots,\ \underbrace{wz_\xi t_\xi}_{=\kappa(X\eta_e)}\ ;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1) = (\ldots,\,(wz_\xi t_\xi)e\,;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1)$$

by the assumption that $\kappa(X\eta) = wz_\xi t_\xi$, we deduce from [INS, Lemma 7.1.4], together with (6.2.4), that $XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e$ is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e &= (\ldots,\,(wz_\xi t_\xi)(z_{-\xi}t_{-\xi+\phi_J(-\xi)})\,;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1) \\ &= (\ldots,\,\underbrace{(wz_\xi t_\xi)(t_{-\xi}y)}_{=\kappa(XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e)}\,;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1), \end{aligned}$$

and hence that $\kappa(XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ is equal to $(wz_\xi t_\xi)(t_{-\xi}y)$; here, $z_\xi y$ must be equal to e, since $(wz_\xi t_\xi)(t_{-\xi}y) = wz_\xi y \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$, with $w \in W^J \subset (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ and $z_\xi y \in (W_J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. Hence we conclude that $\kappa(XS_{t_{-\xi}}\eta_e) = w$. This proves the existence of η_ψ.

It remains to prove the uniqueness of η_ψ. Assume that $\kappa(XS_{t_{\zeta_1}}\eta_e)$, $\kappa(XS_{t_{\zeta_2}}\eta_e) \in W^J$ for some ζ_1, $\zeta_2 \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$. Then we have $\kappa(XS_{t_{\zeta_1}}\eta_e) = \kappa(XS_{t_{\zeta_2}}\eta_e)$. Observe that

$$S_{t_{\zeta_k}}\eta_e = (\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_k})\,;\,0,\,1) = (z_{\zeta_k}t_{\zeta_k+\phi_J(\zeta_k)}\,;\,0,\,1) \quad \text{for } k = 1,\,2.$$

If we write $\kappa(X\eta_e) = wz_\xi t_\xi$ as above, then we see from [INS, Lemma 7.1.4] that

$$\kappa(XS_{t_{\zeta_1}}\eta_e) = (wz_\xi t_\xi)\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa(XS_{t_{\zeta_2}}\eta_e) = (wz_\xi t_\xi)\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_2}).$$

Therefore, we have $(wz_\xi t_\xi)\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_1}) = (wz_\xi t_\xi)\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_2})$, and hence $\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_1}) = \Pi^J(t_{\zeta_2})$. From this, it follows that

$$S_{t_{\zeta_1}}\eta_e = (\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_1})\,;\,0,\,1) = (\Pi^J(t_{\zeta_2})\,;\,0,\,1) = S_{t_{\zeta_2}}\eta_e,$$

which implies that $XS_{t_{\zeta_1}}\eta_e = XS_{t_{\zeta_2}}\eta_e$. Thus we have proved the uniqueness of η_ψ. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Now we define the (tail) degree function $\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}} : \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ as follows. For $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, take $\eta_\psi \in \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ as in Lemma 6.2.3. We write η_ψ as:

$$\eta_\psi = (w_1 z_{\xi_1} t_{\xi_1}, \ldots, w_{s-1} z_{\xi_{s-1}} t_{\xi_{s-1}}, w; a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{s-1}, a_s)$$

for $w_1, \ldots, w_{s-1}, w \in W^J$ and $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{s-1} \in Q^{\vee,\, J\text{-ad}}$. Since

$$w_1 z_{\xi_1} t_{\xi_1} \succ \cdots \succ w_{s-1} z_{\xi_{s-1}} t_{\xi_{s-1}} \succ w$$

in the semi-infinite Bruhat order, it follows from Remark 2.3.3 (and the definition of the semi-infinite Bruhat order) that $[\xi_{s-1}] = [\xi_{s-1} - 0] \in Q^{\vee +}_{I\setminus J}$, and $[\xi_u - \xi_{u+1}] \in Q^{\vee +}_{I\setminus J}$ for every $1 \leq u \leq s-2$; in particular, $[\xi_u] \in Q^{\vee +}_{I\setminus J}$ for all $1 \leq u \leq s-1$. Therefore, we have

$$w_u z_{\xi_u} t_{\xi_u} \lambda \in w_u \lambda + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\delta \subset \lambda - Q^+ + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\delta$$

for every $1 \leq u \leq s-1$. Also, we have $w\lambda \in \lambda - Q^+$. From these, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) = \underbrace{\lambda - \beta}_{=\mathrm{wt}(\psi)} + K\delta \quad \text{for some } \beta \in Q^+ \text{ and } K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}. \tag{6.2.5}$$

We define $\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi) := K \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$; it is easily seen that the $\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}$ thus defined agrees with $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda \circ S$ in [LNS^{3}2, Corollary 4.8], where $\mathrm{Deg}_\lambda : \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) = \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ is the degree function defined in [NS3, §3.1] (see also [LNS^{3}2, §4.2]), and S is the Lusztig involution on $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) = \mathbb{B}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{cl}}$ (see [LNS^{3}2, §4.5]). The next proposition follows from the proof of [LNS^{3}2, Proposition 7.8].

Proposition 6.2.4. *Keep the notation and setting above. There holds the equality*

$$\sum_{\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\psi)} = P_\lambda(x\,;\, q^{-1},\, 0). \tag{6.2.6}$$

6.3 Proof of the graded character formula.

We recall from §4.1 that $V_e^-(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b)$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.2.1, we obtain

$$\mathrm{ch}\, V_e^-(\lambda) = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)}.$$

Because $\mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) = \bigsqcup_{\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} \big(\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)\big)$, we see that

$$\mathrm{ch}\, V_e^-(\lambda) = \sum_{\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)} \right)}_{(*)}. \tag{6.3.1}$$

In order to obtain a graded character formula for $V_e^-(\lambda)$, we will compute the sum $(*)$ above of the terms $x^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)}$ over all $\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ for each $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, and take $\eta_\psi \in \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ as in Lemma 6.2.3. Let X be a monomial in root operators such that $\eta_\psi = X\eta_e$; we see that $\psi = X\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e)$. By Lemma 6.2.2, we have

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) = \bigl\{XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C \mid C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)),\, \zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}\bigr\}.$$

We claim that

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) = \bigl\{XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C \mid C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)),\, \zeta \in Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}\bigr\}. \tag{6.3.2}$$

First we show the inclusion $\subset$. Let $\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, and write η as $\eta = XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C$ for $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$ and $\zeta \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$. Let $w := \kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$; note that $\eta_e = (e\,;\,0,\,1)$ is of the form as in [INS, (7.1.1)], and $X\eta_e = \eta_\psi$ is of the form:

$$X\eta_e = (\ldots,\, w\,;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1) = (\ldots,\, we\,;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1).$$

We see from Remark 3.5.2 (2) that $S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C$ is also of the form as in [INS, (7.1.1)], with $\kappa(S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = \Pi^J(t_\zeta)$ (recall that $\kappa(\eta^C) = e$). Therefore, we deduce from [INS, Lemma 7.1.4] that $XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C$ is of the form:

$$XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C = (\ldots,\, w\Pi^J(t_\zeta)\,;\,0,\,\ldots,\,1),$$

and hence that $\kappa(XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = w\Pi^J(t_\zeta) = wz_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)}$ (see Lemma 2.2.2 (2)). Since $\eta = XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C \in \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, we have $wz_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)} \succeq e$. Hence it follows from Remark 2.3.3 that $\zeta = [\zeta + \phi_J(\zeta)] \in Q^\vee_{I\setminus J}$ is contained in $Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}$. Thus, η is contained in the set on the right-hand side of (6.3.2). Conversely, let $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$, and $\zeta \in Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}$. Then, by the same argument as above, we see that $\kappa(XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C)$ is equal to $wz_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)}$, where $w = \kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$. Since $[(\zeta + \phi_J(\zeta)) - 0] = [\zeta] = \zeta \in Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}$, we see from [INS, Proposition 6.2.2] (with $a = 1$) that $z_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)} \succeq e\ (= t_0)$. Also, we see from Lemma 2.3.4 that $wz_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)} \succeq z_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)}$, since $w \geq e$ in the (ordinary) Bruhat order $\geq$ on W^J. Combining these inequalities, we obtain $\kappa(XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = wz_\zeta t_{\zeta+\phi_J(\zeta)} \succeq e$, which implies that $XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. This proves claim (6.3.2).

Let $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$, and write $\Theta(C) \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ as: $\Theta(C) = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}$, with $\rho^{(i)} = (\rho_1^{(i)} \geq \cdots \geq \rho_{m_i-1}^{(i)})$ for each $i \in I$. Also, let $\zeta \in Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}$, and write it as $\zeta = \sum_{i\in I} c_i\alpha_i^\vee$, with $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i \in I$. For each $i \in I$, we set $c_i + \rho^{(i)} := (c_i + \rho_1^{(i)} \geq \cdots \geq c_i + \rho_{m_i-1}^{(i)} \geq c_i)$, which is a partition of length less than or equal to m_i. Then we set

$$(c_i)_{i\in I} + \Theta(C) := (c_i + \rho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}; \tag{6.3.3}$$

for the definition of $\overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$, see (2.5.1). We compute:

$$\mathrm{wt}(S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = t_\zeta(\mathrm{wt}(\eta^C)) = t_\zeta\bigl(\lambda - |(\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\delta\bigr) = \lambda - \langle\zeta,\,\lambda\rangle\delta - |(\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\delta$$

$$= \lambda - \Big(\sum_{i\in I} m_i c_i\Big)\delta - |(\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\delta = \mathrm{wt}(\eta_e) - |(c_i+\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\delta.$$

From this computation, together with (6.2.5), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{wt}(XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) &= \mathrm{wt}(X\eta_e) - |(c_i+\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\delta = \mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) - |(c_i+\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\delta \\ &= \mathrm{wt}(\psi) + \big(\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi) - |(c_i+\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I}|\big)\delta. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3.4}$$

Therefore, we conclude that for each $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\eta\in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)\cap \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)} &= \sum_{\substack{C\in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)) \\ \zeta\in Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}}} x^{\mathrm{wt}(XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C)} = x^{\mathrm{wt}(\psi)} x^{\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi)\delta} \sum_{\mathbf{c}_0\in\overline{\mathrm{Par}}(\lambda)} x^{-|\mathbf{c}_0|\delta} \\ &= x^{\mathrm{wt}(\psi)} q^{\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi)} \sum_{\mathbf{c}_0\in\overline{\mathrm{Par}}(\lambda)} q^{-|\mathbf{c}_0|} \qquad \text{(by replacing } x^\delta \text{ with } q) \\ &= x^{\mathrm{wt}(\psi)} q^{\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi)} \prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^{-r})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (6.3.1), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{gch}\, V_e^-(\lambda) &= \sum_{\psi\in\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\psi)} q^{\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi)} \prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^{-r})^{-1} \\ &= \Big(\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^{-r})\Big)^{-1} P_\lambda(x\,;q^{-1},0) \qquad \text{by Proposition 6.2.4.}\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1.1.

Remark 6.3.1. Let $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$. We see from (6.3.4) that for every $\eta \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)\cap \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda)$,

$$\mathrm{wt}(\eta) - \mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\le 0}\delta,$$

with $\mathrm{wt}(\eta) - \mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) = 0$ if and only if $\eta = \eta_\psi$.

6.4 Graded character formula for $V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$.

We define the character $\mathrm{ch}\, V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$ and the graded character $\mathrm{gch}\, V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$ of the Demazure submodule $V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$ in exactly the same manner as those of $V^-_e(\lambda)$ are defined in §6.1.

Recall from §2.6 the bijection $\vee : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(-w_0\lambda)$. It follows from Lemma 2.6.1 that

$$\Big(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\lfloor w_0\rfloor^J_\succeq}(\lambda)\Big)^\vee = \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(-w_0\lambda). \tag{6.4.1}$$

From this, together with Theorem 4.2.1, we deduce that

$$\mathrm{ch}\, V^+_{w_0}(\lambda) = \sum_{\eta\in\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\lfloor w_0\rfloor^J_\succeq}(\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta)} = \sum_{\eta\in\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(-w_0\lambda)} x^{\mathrm{wt}(\eta^\vee)} = \sum_{\eta\in\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(-w_0\lambda)} x^{-\mathrm{wt}(\eta)} \quad \text{by (2.6.6)},$$

which is equal to the one obtained from $\operatorname{ch} V_e^-(-w_0\lambda)$ by replacing x with x^{-1}. Therefore, the graded character $\operatorname{gch} V_{w_0}^+(\lambda)$ is obtained from the graded character $\operatorname{gch} V_e^-(-w_0\lambda)$ by replacing x with x^{-1}, and q with q^{-1}.

Theorem 6.4.1. *Keep the notation and setting above. The graded character* $\operatorname{gch} V_{w_0}^+(\lambda)$ *of* $V_{w_0}^+(\lambda)$ *can be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{gch} V_{w_0}^+(\lambda) &= \left(\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^r)\right)^{-1} P_{-w_0\lambda}(x^{-1}\,;\,q,\,0)\\ &= \left(\prod_{i\in I}\prod_{r=1}^{m_i}(1-q^r)\right)^{-1} P_{\lambda}(x\,;\,q,\,0).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality follows immediately from Theorem 6.1.1, together with the comment preceding this theorem. For the second equality, it suffices to show that $P_{-w_0\lambda}(x^{-1}\,;\,q,\,0) = P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,0)$. In the proof of [LNS^{3}2, Proposition 7.8], we showed that

$$P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,0) = \sum_{\eta\in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{-\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(S(\eta))} x^{\operatorname{wt}(\eta)}, \tag{6.4.2}$$

where S is the Lusztig involution on $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ (see [LNS^{3}2, §4.5]). Replacing λ and x with $-w_0\lambda$ and x^{-1}, respectively, we obtain from (6.4.2)

$$P_{-w_0\lambda}(x^{-1}\,;\,q,\,0) = \sum_{\eta\in \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda)} q^{-\operatorname{Deg}_{-w_0\lambda}(S(\eta))} x^{-\operatorname{wt}(\eta)}.$$

Here, we know from [LNS^{3}2, Corollary 7.4] that $\operatorname{Deg}_{-w_0\lambda}(S(\eta)) = \operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta^*)$ for all $\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda)$, where $\eta^* \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ is the "dual" QLS path of $\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda)$ (see [LNS^{3}2, (4.17)]). Also, we have $-\operatorname{wt}(\eta) = \operatorname{wt}(\eta^*)$ by [LNS^{3}2, (4.18)]. Therefore, we deduce that

$$P_{-w_0\lambda}(x^{-1}\,;\,q,\,0) = \sum_{\eta\in \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda)} q^{-\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta^*)} x^{\operatorname{wt}(\eta^*)}.$$

Since $\eta^* \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ if and only if $\eta \in \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda)$, we conclude that

$$P_{-w_0\lambda}(x^{-1}\,;\,q,\,0) = \sum_{\eta\in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)} q^{-\operatorname{Deg}_\lambda(\eta)} x^{\operatorname{wt}(\eta)}.$$

By [LNS^{3}2, Proposition 7.8], the right-hand side of this equality is identical to $P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,0)$. Thus, we have shown that $P_{-w_0\lambda}(x^{-1}\,;\,q,\,0) = P_\lambda(x\,;\,q,\,0)$, as desired. This proves the theorem. □

7 Certain quotients of Demazure submodules.

In this section, we fix $\lambda = \sum_{i\in I} m_i\varpi_i \in P^+$, and set $J = J_\lambda := \{i \in I \mid \langle \alpha_i^\vee,\, \lambda\rangle = 0\}$.

7.1 Some technical lemmas.

The following is an easy lemma.

Lemma 7.1.1 (see Lemma 6.2.3). *The subset* $\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ *of* $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ *is stable under the action of the root operators* e_j *and* f_j *for* $j \in I$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $x_j\eta_\psi = \eta_{x_j\psi}$, assuming that $x_j\eta_\psi \neq \mathbf{0}$ for $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ and $j \in I$, where x_j is either e_j or f_j. Since the map $\mathrm{cl} : \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$ commutes with root operators, it follows that $\mathrm{cl}(x_j\eta_\psi) = x_j\psi$ $(\neq \mathbf{0})$. It is obvious that $x_j\eta_\psi \in \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. Also, we deduce from the definition of root operators that $\kappa(x_j\eta_\psi)$ is equal either to $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$ or to $r_j\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$. In the latter case, we have $r_j\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W \cap (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}}$ since $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$ and $j \in I$, which implies that $r_j\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$ since $W \cap (W^J)_{\mathrm{af}} = W^J$ by (2.2.5). Therefore, by the uniqueness of $\eta_{x_j\psi}$, we obtain $x_j\eta_\psi = \eta_{x_j\psi}$, as desired. □

We know from [LNS^{3}2, §5.1] that there exists a bijection $* : \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \to \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda)$ such that for $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$,

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{wt}(\psi^*) = -\mathrm{wt}(\psi), \quad \text{and} \\ (e_j\psi)^* = f_j\psi^*, (f_j\psi)^* = e_j\psi^* \quad \text{for all } j \in I_{\mathrm{af}}, \end{cases} \tag{7.1.1}$$

where we set $\mathbf{0}^* := \mathbf{0}$. We see easily from the definitions that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\vee} & \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(-w_0\lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle \mathrm{cl}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{cl}} \\ \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathrm{QLS}(-w_0\lambda). \end{array} \tag{7.1.2}$$

The next lemma follows immediately from Lemma 6.2.3, by using the commutative diagram (7.1.2), together with (2.6.6) and (7.1.1).

Lemma 7.1.2 (cf. [NS3, Proposition 3.1.3]). *For each* $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, *the element* $\widetilde{\eta}_\psi := (\eta_{\psi^*})^\vee$ *is a unique element in* $\mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ *such that* $\mathrm{cl}(\widetilde{\eta}_\psi) = \psi$ *and* $\iota(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$.

We can prove the next lemma by an argument similar to the one for Lemma 7.1.1.

Lemma 7.1.3. *The subset* $\{\widetilde{\eta}_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ *of* $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ *is stable under the action of the root operators* e_j *and* f_j *for* $j \in I$.

Remark 7.1.4. By Lemmas 7.1.1 and 7.1.3, each of the sets $\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\}$ and $\{\widetilde{\eta}_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\}$ has a crystal structure for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is the canonical finite-dimensional simple Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathrm{af}}$. Moreover, these crystals for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ are both isomorphic to $\mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, regarded as a crystal for $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ by restriction.

7.2 Certain quotients of Demazure submodules and their crystal bases.

We define

$$X_e^-(\lambda) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ \mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i \in I}}} U_q^- S_{\mathbf{c}_0}^- v_\lambda;$$

note that $X_e^-(\lambda) \subset V_e^-(\lambda) = U_q^- v_\lambda$ since $S_{\mathbf{c}_0}^- \in U_q^-$ for all $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$ (see §3.5). We denote by $\Xi_\lambda^- : V_e^-(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow V_e^-(\lambda)/X_e^-(\lambda)$ the canonical projection, and set

$$U_w^-(\lambda) := \Xi_\lambda^-(V_w^-(\lambda)) \qquad \text{for each } w \in W^J; \tag{7.2.1}$$

we have $V_w^-(\lambda) \subset V_e^-(\lambda)$ since $w \succeq e$ (see Corollary 5.2.5).

Theorem 7.2.1. *Keep the notation and setting above.*

(1) *There exists a subset $\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))$ of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ such that*

$$X_e^-(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b). \tag{7.2.2}$$

Under the isomorphism $\Psi_\lambda : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, the subset $\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is mapped to the subset

$$\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda) \setminus \{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\}$$

of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. Therefore, if we define $\mathcal{B}(U_e^-(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ to be the inverse image of $\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\} \subset \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ under the isomorphism Ψ_λ, then $\{\Xi_\lambda^-(G(b)) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(U_e^-(\lambda))\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-basis of the quotient $U_e^-(\lambda) = V_e^-(\lambda)/X_e^-(\lambda)$.

(2) *For each $w \in W^J$, the quotient $U_w^-(\lambda) = \Xi_\lambda^-(V_w^-(\lambda))$ of $V_w^-(\lambda)$ has a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-basis $\{\Xi_\lambda^-(G(b)) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(U_w^-(\lambda))\}$, where $\mathcal{B}(U_w^-(\lambda))$ is defined to be the inverse image of the following subset of $\mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ under the isomorphism Ψ_λ:*

$$\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \text{ such that } \kappa(\eta_\psi) \geq w\}, \tag{7.2.3}$$

where $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \geq w$ means that $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \in W^J$ is greater than or equal to $w \in W^J$ in the (ordinary) Bruhat order on W^J.

We will prove Theorem 7.2.1 in the next subsection.

Similarly, we define

$$X_{w_0}^+(\lambda) := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ \mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i \in I}}} U_q^+ S_{\mathbf{c}_0} S_{w_0}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda;$$

note that $X_{w_0}^+(\lambda) \subset V_{w_0}^+(\lambda) = U_q^+ S_{w_0}^{\mathrm{norm}} v_\lambda$ since $S_{\mathbf{c}_0} \in U_q^+$ for all $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$. We denote by $\Xi_\lambda^+ : V_{w_0}^+(\lambda) \twoheadrightarrow V_{w_0}^+(\lambda)/X_{w_0}^+(\lambda)$ the canonical projection, and set

$$U_w^+(\lambda) := \Xi_\lambda^+(V_w^+(\lambda)) \qquad \text{for each } w \in W^J; \tag{7.2.4}$$

we have $V_w^+(\lambda) \subset V_{w_0}^+(\lambda)$ since $\lfloor w_0 \rfloor^J \succeq w$.

Theorem 7.2.2. *Keep the notation and setting above.*

(1) *There exists a subset $\mathcal{B}(X^+_{w_0}(\lambda))$ of $\mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ such that*

$$X^+_{w_0}(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}(X^+_{w_0}(\lambda))} \mathbb{Q}(q_s)G(b).$$

Under the isomorphism $\Psi^\vee_\lambda : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, the subset $\mathcal{B}(X^+_{w_0}(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ is mapped to the subset

$$\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\lfloor w_0 \rfloor^J \succeq}(\lambda) \setminus \{\widetilde{\eta}_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\}$$

of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. Therefore, if we define $\mathcal{B}(U^+_{w_0}(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$ to be the inverse image of $\{\widetilde{\eta}_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\} \subset \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_0(\lambda)$ under the isomorphism $\Psi^\vee_\lambda$, then $\{\Xi^+_\lambda(G(b)) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(U^+_{w_0}(\lambda))\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-basis of the quotient $U^+_{w_0}(\lambda) = V^+_{w_0}(\lambda)/X^+_{w_0}(\lambda)$.

(2) *For each $w \in W^J$, the quotient $U^+_w(\lambda) = \Xi^+_\lambda(V^+_w(\lambda))$ of $V^+_w(\lambda)$ has a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-basis $\{\Xi^+_\lambda(G(b)) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(U^+_w(\lambda))\}$, where $\mathcal{B}(U^+_w(\lambda))$ is defined to be the inverse image of the following subset of $\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_0(\lambda)$ under the isomorphism $\Psi^\vee_\lambda$:*

$$\{\widetilde{\eta}_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \text{ such that } w \geq \iota(\widetilde{\eta}_\psi)\}.$$

We leave the proof of Theorem 7.2.2 to the reader since it is similar to that of Theorem 7.2.1; use also [BN, Lemma 5.2].

In our forthcoming paper [LNS^{3}3], we will prove that for each $w \in W^J$, the graded character of $U^+_w(\lambda)$ is identical to the specialization at $t = 0$ of the nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomial $E_{w\lambda}(x; q, t)$. This generalizes [LNS^{3}2, Corollary 7.10], since $E_{w_0\lambda}(x; q, 0) = P_\lambda(x; q, 0)$.

7.3 Proof of Theorem 7.2.1.

Recall from §5.2 the U_q-module embedding

$$\Phi_\lambda : V(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \widetilde{V}(\lambda) = \bigotimes_{i \in I} V(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i}$$

that maps v_λ to $\widetilde{v}_\lambda = \bigotimes_{i \in I} v_{\varpi_i}^{\otimes m_i}$. For each $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i \in I} \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$, we define a U'_q-module homomorphism $s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1}) : \widetilde{V}(\lambda) \to \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ by:

$$s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1}) = \prod_{i \in I} s_{\rho^{(i)}}(z_{i,1}^{-1}, \ldots, z_{i,m_i}^{-1}),$$

where for $i \in I$ and $1 \leq l \leq m_i$, $z_{i,l} : \widetilde{V}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ is the U'_q-module automorphism of $\widetilde{V}(\lambda)$, and for $i \in I$, $s_{\rho^{(i)}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{m_i})$ denotes the Schur polynomial corresponding to the partition $\rho^{(i)}$. We claim that

$$s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})(\mathrm{Image}\, \Phi_\lambda) \subset \mathrm{Image}\, \Phi_\lambda.$$

Indeed, since $V(\lambda) = U_q v_\lambda = U'_q v_\lambda$, it follows that $\operatorname{Image}\Phi_\lambda = U_q\widetilde{v}_\lambda = U'_q\widetilde{v}_\lambda$. Therefore, we see from [BN, Proposition 4.10] that

$$s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})(\operatorname{Image}\Phi_\lambda) = s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})(U'_q\widetilde{v}_\lambda) = U'_q s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})\widetilde{v}_\lambda = \underbrace{U'_q S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0}}_{\in U_q}\widetilde{v}_\lambda \subset \operatorname{Image}\Phi_\lambda,$$

as desired. Hence we can define a U'_q-module homomorphism $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} : V(\lambda) \to V(\lambda)$ in such a way that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda} & \widetilde{V}(\lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle z_{\mathbf{c}_0}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})} \\ V(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda} & \widetilde{V}(\lambda). \end{array} \tag{7.3.1}$$

Here, note that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} v_\lambda = S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0} v_\lambda$, and that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0}$ commutes with Kashiwara operators on $V(\lambda)$. Because $z_{i,l}$ preserves the crystal lattice $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) = \bigotimes_{i\in I}\mathcal{L}(\varpi_i)^{\otimes m_i} \subset \widetilde{V}(\lambda)$ for all $i \in I$ and $1 \le l \le m_i$ (see §5.2), and because $\Phi_\lambda(\mathcal{L}(\lambda)) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)$, we deduce that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0}(\mathcal{L}(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{L}(\lambda)$. Thus, we obtain an induced $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} : \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda) \to \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$, for which the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda) \\ {\scriptstyle z_{\mathbf{c}_0}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s_{\mathbf{c}_0}(z^{-1})} \\ \mathcal{L}(\lambda)/q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\lambda|_{q=0}} & \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda)/q_s\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}(\lambda). \end{array} \tag{7.3.2}$$

It follows from [BN, p. 371] (see also (5.2.5)) that

$$\mathcal{B}(\lambda) = \bigl\{z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b \mid \mathbf{c}_0 \in \operatorname{Par}(\lambda),\, b \in \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)\bigr\}. \tag{7.3.3}$$

Also, by (5.2.6), we have $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} u_\lambda = u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$ for $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \operatorname{Par}(\lambda)$ (for the definition of $u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$, see Proposition 3.5.1).

Remark 7.3.1. Let $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \overline{\operatorname{Par}(\lambda)}$. Let $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, $i \in I$, be the number of columns of length m_i in the Young diagram corresponding to the partition $\rho^{(i)}$, and set $\xi := \sum_{i\in I} c_i\alpha_i^\vee \in Q^{\vee+}$; note that $\xi \in Q^{\vee+}_{I\setminus J}$. Also, let $\varrho^{(i)}$, $i \in I$, denote the partition corresponding to the Young diagram obtained from the Young diagram corresponding to $\rho^{(i)}$ by removing all columns of length m_i (i.e., the first c_i-columns), and set $\mathbf{c}'_0 := (\varrho^{(i)})_{i\in I}$; note that $\mathbf{c}'_0 \in \operatorname{Par}(\lambda)$. Then we deduce from [BN, Lemma 4.14 and its proof] that

$$z_{\mathbf{c}_0} u_\lambda = S_{t_\xi} z_{\mathbf{c}'_0} u_\lambda = S_{t_\xi} u^{\mathbf{c}'_0}. \tag{7.3.4}$$

Lemma 7.3.2. *We have*

$$\mathcal{B}^-_e(\lambda) = \bigl\{z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b \mid \mathbf{c}_0 \in \operatorname{Par}(\lambda),\, b \in \mathcal{B}^-_e(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)\bigr\}. \tag{7.3.5}$$

Moreover, for every $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\operatorname{Par}(\lambda)}$ *and* $b \in \mathcal{B}^-_e(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$, *the element* $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b$ *is contained in* $\mathcal{B}^-_e(\lambda)$.

Proof. First we prove the inclusion $\supset$. Let $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$, and write it as $b = Xu_\lambda$ for a monomial X in Kashiwara operators. For $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$, we have $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b = X z_{\mathbf{c}_0} u_\lambda = X u^{\mathbf{c}_0}$. Set $\eta := \Psi_\lambda(b)$ and $\eta' := \Psi_\lambda(z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b)$, where $\Psi_\lambda : \mathcal{B}(\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ is the isomorphism of crystals. Then, we have $\eta = X\eta_e$ and $\eta' = X\Psi_\lambda(u^{\mathbf{c}_0}) = X\eta^C$, with $C := \Theta^{-1}(\mathbf{c}_0) \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$. Therefore, we deduce from [INS, Lemma 7.1.4] that $\kappa(\eta) = \kappa(\eta')$. Also, since $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$, it follows that $\kappa(\eta) \succeq e$, and hence $\kappa(\eta') = \kappa(\eta) \succeq e$. Thus we obtain $\eta' \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda)$, which implies that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$.

Next we prove the opposite inclusion $\subset$. Let $b' \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$, and write it as $b' = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b$ for some $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$ (see (7.3.3)); we need to show that $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$. Set $\eta := \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, and $\eta' := \Psi_\lambda(b') \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$. Then, by entirely the same argument as above, we deduce that $\kappa(\eta) = \kappa(\eta') \succeq e$. Thus we obtain $\eta \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda)$, which implies that $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$.

For the second assertion, let $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i \in I} \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$, and $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$. We write b as $b = Xu_\lambda$ for a monomial X in Kashiwara operators. Define $\xi = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \alpha_i^\vee \in Q^{\vee +}$, and $\mathbf{c}_0' = (\varrho^{(i)})_{i \in I} \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ as in Remark 7.3.1 (with $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\rho^{(i)})_{i \in I}$ above). Then, we have

$$z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b = X z_{\mathbf{c}_0} u_\lambda = X S_{t_\xi} z_{\mathbf{c}_0'} u_\lambda = X S_{t_\xi} u^{\mathbf{c}_0'}.$$

Now we set $\eta := \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$, and $\psi := \mathrm{cl}(\eta) \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$; note that $\eta = X\eta_e$, and hence $\psi = X\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e)$. We see that

$$\Psi_\lambda(z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b) = X S_{t_\xi} \Psi_\lambda(u^{\mathbf{c}_0'}) = X S_{t_\xi} \eta^C, \quad \text{with } C := \Theta^{-1}(\mathbf{c}_0') \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)).$$

Since $\xi \in Q^{\vee +}_{I \setminus J}$, it follows from (6.3.2) that $\Psi_\lambda(z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda)$, which implies that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$. This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 7.2.1. First, we prove that if we set

$$\mathcal{B} := \left\{ z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b \mid \mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \setminus (\emptyset)_{i \in I},\, b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda) \right\} \subset \mathcal{B}(\lambda), \tag{7.3.6}$$

then we have

$$X_e^-(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b). \tag{7.3.7}$$

Because $S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0} v_\lambda = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} v_\lambda$ for every $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_e^-(\lambda) &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ \mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i \in I_0}}} U_q^- S^-_{\mathbf{c}_0} v_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ \mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i \in I_0}}} U_q^- z_{\mathbf{c}_0} v_\lambda \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ \mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i \in I_0}}} z_{\mathbf{c}_0} (U_q^- v_\lambda) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ \mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i \in I_0}}} z_{\mathbf{c}_0} (V_e^-(\lambda)). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3.8}$$

Let $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \setminus (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$, and $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$. Then we deduce that $G(z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b)$; indeed, since $b \in \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$, we see by [BN, Theorem 4.16 (ii)] that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b) = G(b')$ for some $b' \in \mathcal{B}(\lambda)$. Here,

$$b' = G(b') + q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b) + q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0}(G(b) + q_s\mathcal{L}(\lambda)) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b,$$

from which we get $G(z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b)$, as desired. Since $G(b) \in V_e^-(\lambda)$, it follows from (7.3.8) that $G(z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b) \in X_e^-(\lambda)$, and hence $X_e^-(\lambda) \supset \bigoplus_{b\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b)$. Now we show the opposite inclusion $\subset$ in (7.3.7). Since $\{G(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}(q_s)$-basis of $V_e^-(\lambda)$, we see from (7.3.8) that

$$X_e^-(\lambda) = \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}(q_s)}\{z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b) \mid \mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \setminus (\emptyset)_{i\in I},\ b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)\}. \tag{7.3.9}$$

Let $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \setminus (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$, and $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$. By Lemma 7.3.2, we can write the b as $b = z_{\mathbf{c}_0'} b'$ for some $\mathbf{c}_0' \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ and $b' \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$. Then we have $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} b = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} z_{\mathbf{c}_0'} b'$. Because $z_{\mathbf{c}_0}$ and $z_{\mathbf{c}_0'}$ are defined by using Schur polynomials (see (5.2.3)), their product $z_{\mathbf{c}_0} z_{\mathbf{c}_0'}$ can be expressed as:

$$z_{\mathbf{c}_0} z_{\mathbf{c}_0'} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0'' \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ |\mathbf{c}_0''| = |\mathbf{c}_0| + |\mathbf{c}_0'|}} n_{\mathbf{c}_0''} z_{\mathbf{c}_0''}, \qquad n_{\mathbf{c}_0''} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0};$$

here we remark that $|\mathbf{c}_0| + |\mathbf{c}_0'| \geq 1$ since $\mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$. Therefore, we deduce that

$$z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(b) = z_{\mathbf{c}_0} G(z_{\mathbf{c}_0'} b') = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c}_0'' \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \\ |\mathbf{c}_0''| = |\mathbf{c}_0| + |\mathbf{c}_0'|}} n_{\mathbf{c}_0''} G(z_{\mathbf{c}_0''} b') \in \bigoplus_{b\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b).$$

From this, together with (7.3.9), we obtain $X_e^-(\lambda) \subset \bigoplus_{b\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Q}(q_s) G(b)$. Combining these, we obtain (7.3.7), as desired; we write $\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))$ for the set $\mathcal{B}$.

Next, we prove that

$$\Psi_\lambda\big(\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))\big) = \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \setminus \{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\}.$$

For this purpose, it suffices to show that for each $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$,

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \Psi_\lambda\big(\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))\big) = \Big(\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)\Big) \setminus \{\eta_\psi\}. \tag{7.3.10}$$

Let $\psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)$, and write the $\eta_\psi \in \mathbb{B}_0^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)$ as $\eta_\psi = X\eta_e$ for some monomial X in root operators; recall from (6.3.2) that

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) = \{X S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C \mid C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)),\ \zeta \in Q_{I\setminus J}^{\vee +}\}.$$

Let us show the inclusion $\supset$ in (7.3.10). Let η be an element in the set on the right-hand side of (7.3.10), and write it as: $\eta = X S_{t_\zeta} \eta^C$, with $C \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda))$ and $\zeta \in Q_{I\setminus J}^{\vee +}$. We

write ζ as $\zeta = \sum_{i\in I} c_i\alpha_i^\vee$, $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $i \in I$. Then we define $\mathbf{c}_0 := (c_i)_{i\in I} + \Theta(C) \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)}$ as in (6.3.3). Here we claim that $\mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$. Indeed, by the computation in (6.3.4), we have

$$\mathrm{wt}(\eta) = \mathrm{wt}(XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = \mathrm{wt}(\psi) + \big(\mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi) - |\mathbf{c}_0|\big)\delta.$$

Since $\eta \neq \eta_\psi$ by our assumption, it follows from Remark 6.3.1 that $\mathrm{wt}(\eta) \neq \mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) = \mathrm{wt}(\psi) + \mathrm{Deg}^{\mathrm{tail}}(\psi)\delta$. Therefore, we deduce that $|\mathbf{c}_0| \neq 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{c}_0 \neq (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$. Now, we set $b := \Psi_\lambda^{-1}(\eta_\psi) \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$; note that $b = Xu_\lambda$. Then we see by (7.3.6) that $z_{\mathbf{c}_0}b \in \mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))$. Also, since $z_{\mathbf{c}_0}b = z_{\mathbf{c}_0}Xu_\lambda = Xz_{\mathbf{c}_0}u_\lambda = XS_{t_\zeta}u^{\Theta(C)}$ by Remark 7.3.1, we have $\Psi_\lambda(z_{\mathbf{c}_0}b) = XS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C = \eta$. Hence we conclude that $\eta \in \Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))$. Thus we have shown the inclusion $\supset$.

Let us show the opposite inclusion $\subset$. Since $\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda)) \subset \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$, it follows immediately from Theorem 4.2.1 that

$$\mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \Psi_\lambda\big(\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))\big) \subset \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi) \cap \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda).$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $\eta_\psi \notin \Psi_\lambda\big(\mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))\big)$. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists $b' \in \mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))$ such that $\Psi_\lambda(b') = \eta_\psi$. By (7.3.6), we can write it as: $b' = z_{\mathbf{c}_0}b$ for some $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \setminus (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}_0(\lambda)$. We show that $\eta := \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)$. Let us write b as $b = Yu_\lambda$ for some monomial Y in Kashiwara operators (note that $\eta = Y\eta_e$), and define $\zeta = \sum_{i\in I} c_i\alpha_i^\vee \in Q^{\vee+}$ and $\mathbf{c}_0' = (\varrho^{(i)})_{i\in I} \in \mathrm{Par}(\lambda)$ in such a way that $\mathbf{c}_0 = (c_i)_{i\in I} + \mathbf{c}_0'$ (see Remark 7.3.1). Then, by (7.3.4), we have

$$b' = z_{\mathbf{c}_0}b = z_{\mathbf{c}_0}Yu_\lambda = Yz_{\mathbf{c}_0}u_\lambda = YS_{t_\zeta}u^{\mathbf{c}_0'}.$$

Also, we see that

$$\eta_\psi = \Psi_\lambda(b') = \Psi_\lambda(YS_{t_\zeta}u^{\mathbf{c}_0'}) = YS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C, \quad \text{with } C := \Theta^{-1}(\mathbf{c}_0') \in \mathrm{Conn}(\mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda)), \tag{7.3.11}$$

and hence that

$$\begin{aligned}\psi = \mathrm{cl}(\eta_\psi) = \mathrm{cl}(YS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = Y\,\mathrm{cl}(S_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = Y\,\mathrm{cl}(\eta_e) \quad &\text{(see Remark 3.5.2)}\\ = \mathrm{cl}(Y\eta_e) = \mathrm{cl}(\Psi_\lambda(Yu_\lambda)) = \mathrm{cl}(\Psi_\lambda(b)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain $\eta = \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathrm{cl}^{-1}(\psi)$, as desired. Since $b \in \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$ by our assumption, we have $\eta = \Psi_\lambda(b) \in \mathbb{B}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}_{\succeq e}(\lambda)$. Hence it follows from Remark 6.3.1 that $\mathrm{wt}(\eta) - \mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}\delta$. On the other hand, by (7.3.11),we have

$$\mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) = \mathrm{wt}(YS_{t_\zeta}\eta^C) = \mathrm{wt}(Y\eta_e) - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta = \mathrm{wt}(\eta) - |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta,$$

and hence $\mathrm{wt}(\eta) - \mathrm{wt}(\eta_\psi) = |\mathbf{c}_0|\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\delta$. Combining these, we deduce that $|\mathbf{c}_0| = 0$, which implies that $\mathbf{c}_0 = (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$. However, this contradicts our assumption that $\mathbf{c}_0 \in \overline{\mathrm{Par}(\lambda)} \setminus (\emptyset)_{i\in I}$. Thus we have shown the inclusion $\subset$. This completes the proof of part (1) of Theorem 7.2.1.

Finally, we prove part (2) of Theorem 7.2.1. Let $w \in W^J$. Because

$$U_w^-(\lambda) \cong V_w^-(\lambda)/\big(V_w^-(\lambda) \cap X_e^-(\lambda)\big),$$

we deduce that $V_w^-(\lambda) \cap X_e^-(\lambda)$ has a $\mathbb{C}(q)$-basis $\big\{G(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}_w^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda))\big\}$. It follows immediately from part (1) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\lambda(\mathcal{B}_w^-(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{B}(X_e^-(\lambda)) &= \mathbb{B}_{\succeq w}^{\infty,\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cap \Big(\mathbb{B}_{\succeq e}^{\infty,\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \setminus \big\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\big\}\Big) \\ &= \mathbb{B}_{\succeq w}^{\infty,\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \setminus \Big(\mathbb{B}_{\succeq w}^{\infty,\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cap \big\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\big\}\Big);\end{aligned}$$

note that $\mathcal{B}_w^-(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{B}_e^-(\lambda)$, since $w \in W^J$ and hence $w \succeq e$ (see Lemma 2.3.4). Therefore, if we define $\mathcal{B}(U_w^-(\lambda))$ to be the inverse image of the set

$$\mathbb{B}_{\succeq w}^{\infty,\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cap \big\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\big\}$$

under the isomorphism Ψ_λ, then the set $\big\{G(b) \mid b \in \mathcal{B}(U_w^-(\lambda))\big\}$ is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$-basis of $U_w^-(\lambda)$. Here, observe that

$$\mathbb{B}_{\succeq w}^{\infty,\frac{\infty}{2}}(\lambda) \cap \big\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda)\big\} = \big\{\eta_\psi \mid \psi \in \mathrm{QLS}(\lambda) \text{ such that } \kappa(\eta_\psi) \succeq w\big\}.$$

Since $\kappa(\eta_\psi)$ and w are both contained in W^J, it follows from Lemma 2.3.4 that $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \succeq w$ if and only if $\kappa(\eta_\psi) \geq w$ in the (ordinary) Bruhat order on W^J. Thus we have proved part (2). This completes the proof of Theorem 7.2.1. □

References

[AK] T. Akasaka and M. Kashiwara, Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), 839–867.

[BN] J. Beck and H. Nakajima, Crystal bases and two-sided cells of quantum affine algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **123** (2004), 335–402.

[BB] A. Björner and F. Brenti, "Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups", Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. 231, Springer, New York, 2005.

[BF] A. Braverman and M. Finkelberg, Weyl modules and q-Whittaker functions, *Math. Ann.* **359** (2014), 45–59.

[F] W. Fulton, "Young tableaux: With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry", London Mathematical Society Student Texts Vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[HK] J. Hong and S.-J. Kang, "Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases", Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 42, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[INS] M. Ishii, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki, Semi-infinite Lakshmibai-Seshadri path model for level-zero extremal weight modules over quantum affine algebras, arXiv:1402.3884, *Adv. Math.* (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2015.11.037, in press.

[Kac] V. G. Kac, "Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras", 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.

[Kas1] M. Kashiwara, Global crystal bases of quantum groups, *Duke Math. J.* **69** (1993), 455–485.

[Kas2] M. Kashiwara, The crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula, *Duke Math. J.* **71** (1993), 839–858.

[Kas3] M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebra, *Duke Math. J.* **73** (1994), 383–413.

[Kas4] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases, *in* "Representations of Groups" (B. N. Allison and G. H. Cliff, Eds.), CMS Conf. Proc. Vol. 16, pp. 155–197, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.

[Kas5] M. Kashiwara, "Bases Cristallines des Groupes Quantiques" (Notes by Charles Cochet), Cours Spécialisés Vol. 9, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2002.

[Kas6] M. Kashiwara, On level-zero representations of quantized affine algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **112** (2002), 117–175.

[Kas7] M. Kashiwara, Level zero fundamental representations over quantized affine algebras and Demazure modules, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **41** (2005), 223–250.

[LS] T. Lam and M. Shimozono, Quantum cohomology of G/P and homology of affine Grassmannian, *Acta Math.* **204** (2010), 49–90.

[LNS^{3}1] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals I: Lifting the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 1848–1901.

[LNS^{3}2] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals II: Alcove model, path model, and $P = X$, preprint 2014, arXiv:1402.2203.

[LNS^{3}3] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono, A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals III: Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials at $t = 0$ and Demazure characters, preprint 2015, arXiv:1511.00465.

[L1] P. Littelmann, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebras, *Invent. Math.* **116** (1994), 329–346.

[L2] P. Littelmann, Paths and root operators in representation theory, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **142** (1995), 499–525.

[NS1] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Crystal of Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths associated to an integral weight of level zero for an affine Lie algebra, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005** (2005), no. 14, 815–840.

[NS2] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Path model for a level-zero extremal weight module over a quantum affine algebra. II, *Adv. Math.* **200** (2006), 102–124.

[NS3] S. Naito and D. Sagaki, Lakshmibai-Seshadri paths of a level-zero weight shape and one-dimensional sums associated to level-zero fundamental representations, *Compos. Math.* **144** (2008), 1525–1556.

[OS] D. Orr and M. Shimozono, Specializations of nonsymmetric Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials, preprint 2013, arXiv:1310.0279.

[P] D. Peterson, Quantum Cohomology of G/P, Lecture Notes, Cambridge, MA, Spring: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1997.